Of

EXHIBITS

Doc.		Pros.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
698	2383		Treaty Respecting South Man- churia and Eastern Inner Mongolia, signed at Peking 29 May 1915		19132
596	2384		Instruction of 17 June 1927 to the Japanese Consul-General at Tientsin from the Japanese Foreign Minister re the in- tended trip to Japan of the e Emperor Pu-Yi		19144
307	2385		Report dated 11 January 1929 from the Chief of Public Safe Bureau of the Kwantung Govern ment to the Chief of Colonial Bureau of the Japanese Cabine and other officials re a Movement for the Restoration of Chin Dynasty in Manchuria, the leader of which was Kun Chin-Wang (Uncle of Pu-Yi)	t	19151
676	2386		Report of 5 February 1929 from the Japanese Charge d'Affaire ad interim in China to the Japanese Foreign Minister re the promulgation of the Regulations for the Confiscation of Japanese Goods		19157
481	2387		Report dated 24 April 1929 from the Japanese Consul at Kirin (Manchuria) to the Japanese Foreign Minister re the Secret Order issued by the Chinese Authorities Prohibiting the Lease of House Sites to the Japanese	t	19161

Of

EXHIBITS

No.		Pros.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
482	2388		Report dated 2 August 1929 from the Japanese Director of the Bureau of Police Affairs in the Kwantung Pro- vince to the Japanese Vice- Minister of Overseas Affairs and other functionaries re the Chinese Regulations Pro- hibiting the Sale of Lands to Foreigners		19164
480	2389		Report dated 19 August 1929 from the Japanese Consul- General at Chientae to the Japanese Foreign Minister re the Chinese Proclamation to Enforce the Regulations on the Lease of Land to Korean Farmers		19168
			MORNING RECESS		19170
486	2390		Report of 8 November 1929 from the Japanese Consul-General at Kirin to the Japanese Foreign Minister re the Chinese Regulations Controlling the Rice Field Irrigation in Kirin Provinces		19176

01

EXHIBITS

	No.		Pros.		or ent.	In Evidence
.1	557	2391		Report dated 9 June 1931 from the Japanese Director of the Department of Korean Affairs in the Ministry of Overseas to the Director of Asiatic Affairs Bureau of the Foreign Office re the Enforce ment of the Chinese Discipling Law Against Lease or Sale of Land to Foreigners	_	19182
				NOON RECESS		19191
		100				
0	326	2392		Article dated 22 July 1931 entitled "Japan's Continental Rights" - a Speech by Premier WAKATSUKI re the Manchurian Question		19195
	392	2393		Article dated 5 August 1931 entitled "Army Commanders' Conference; Minister of War's Appeal to the Public; Essential Improvements"		19200
	443	2394		Article dated 16 August 1931 entitled "The Manchurian Situation - Kwantung Governor and Korean National- ity Question calls for Solution"		19204
						_//

0.f

EXHIBITS

Doc.		Pros. No.	Description	For In Ident.Evidenc
796	2395		Article dated 6 September 1931 entitled "Baron WAKATSUKI Replies - No Reason for Stronger China Policy - The Hot Hoads Rebuked"	19207
795	2396		Article dated 9 September 1931 entitled "Chiang's Fiory Attack - Japan Behind the Scenes in the Canton Revolt - The NAKAMURA Murder"	. 19210
794	2397		Article dated 16 September 1931 entitled "Chiang Repeats His Charges - Did Japan Supply Canton with 100,000 Rifles? - Grave Accusations"	19212
352	2398		Excerpt from the Manchuria Year Book showing Condition of Japanese Investments in Man- churia by various Statistics	19214
883	2399		Affidavit of KANAI, Shoji	19219
244	2400		Affidavit of JONJO, Kazuo	19244
274	2401		Testament of JONJO, Shigeru	19246
233	2402		Affidavit of KAWAMURA, Kyoichi	19249
227	2403		"The True Nature of the Man- churian Incident" Written by HONJO, Shigeru	19250

Of

WITNESSES

Defense' Witnesses	Page
KANAI, Shoji	19219
Direct by Mr. OHARA	19219
AFTERNOON RECESS	19224
Direct by Mr. OHARA (cont'd) Direct by Mr. OHTA (cont'd)	19225 19232
(Witness excused)	19234
HONJO, Kazuo	19243
Direct by Mr. Banno	19243
(Witness excused)	19248
KAWAHURA, Kyoichi	19248
Direct by Mr. Banno	19248

1	Wednesday, 2 April 1947
2	
3	
4	INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal
6	War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan
7	
8	The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment,
9 8	at 0930.
10	
11	Appearances:
12	For the Tribunal, same as before with the
13	exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE JARANILLA, Member
	from the Republic of the Philippines, now sitting.
15	For the Prosecution Section, same as before.
16	For the Defense Section, same as before.
17	
18	(English to Japanese and Japanese
19	to English interpretation was made by the
20	
21	Language Section, IMTFE.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

Greenberg & Eder

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: All the accused are present except OKAWA, MATSUI and TOGO who are represented by their respective counsel. The prison surgeon of Sugamo certifies that MATSUI and TOGO are too ill to attend the trial today. The certificate will be recorded and filed.

Major Moore.

LANGUAGE ARBITER (Major Moore): Mr. President, with the Tribunal's permission we present the following language corrections:

Exhibit No. 2202A, record page 15,753, between lines 14 and 15 insert as headlines, "No Decision to Increase Korea Divisions. Therefore Dispatch of Troops not in question. War Minister MINAMI's Statement."

Exhibit No. 74, record page 17,490, line 11, substitute "Total War" for "Whole-Nation Combat."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. OKAMOTO.

IR. T. OKAMOTO: Mr. President and Members of the Tribunal, the defense desires to state that during the recess we have made a substantial reduction of our evidence in order to expedite the trial. The prosecution has cooperated with us to this end by

entering into an arrangement in respect of certain Sine-Japanese treaties. Mr. Levin will later report to the Tribunal about the particulars of such arrangement. Now I shall proceed with the presentation of documentary evidence with your permission.

First of all, the defense will refer to exhibit 21 (defense document 199) and exhibit 2298 (defense document 59) which are the peace treaty and additional articles concluded between Russia and Japan on September 5, 1905, whereby Japan succeeded to the Russian rights in South Manchuria.

Beforences are made to exhibit 2292 (defense document 41), exhibit 2293 (defense document 55), and exhibit 2294 (defense document 56), which are Anglo-Japanese agreements of alliance concluded in 1902, 1905 and 1911, respectively. They will show that Great Britain recognized special interests of Japan in China in exchange of Japan's recognition of the British special interests in India.

We now offer in evidence defense document 698 which is the Sino-Japanese treaty respecting South Manchuria and Eastern Inner Mongelia, signed at Pokin on May 25, 1915. This is the famous Twenty-one D mands, which was the cause of the Sino-Japanese dispute for a long time, leading up to the Mukden

Incident. I should like to read this document in 1 order to show that it is a very simple and straightforward treaty and contains no aggressiveness in its 3 4 nature. CLERK OF THE COURT: Are you offering it? 6 MR. T. OKAMOTO: Yes, I am offering it in 7 evidence. 8 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 9 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 10 698 will receive exhibit No. 2383. 11 (Whereupon, the document above 12 referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 13 2383 and received in cvidence.) 14 MR. T. OKAMOTO: I propose to read it. 15 "His Excellency the President of the Republic 16 of China and His Majesty the Emperor of Japan, having 17 resolved to conclude a Treaty with a view to develop-18 ing their economic relations in South Manchuria and 19 Eastern Inner Mongolia, have for that purpose named 20 as their Plenipotentiaries, that is to say," -- I 21 will omit these names. 22 "Who, after having communicated to each other 23 their full powers, and found them to be in good and 24 due form, have agreed upon and concluded the follow-25

ing Articles:

"Article 1. The two High Contracting Parties agree that the term of lease of Port Arthur and Dalny and the terms of the South Manchuria Railway and the Antung-Mukden Railway, shall be extended to 99 years.

"Article 2. Japanese subjects in South Manchuria may, by negotiation, lease land necessary for erecting suitable buildings for trade and manufacture or for prosecuting agricultural enterprises.

"Article 3. Japanese subjects shall be free to reside and travel in South Manchuria and to engage in business and manufacture of any kind whatsoever.

"Article 4. In the event of Japanese and Chinese desiring jointly to undertake agricultural enterprises and industries incidental thereto, the Chinese Government may give its permission.

"Article 5. The Japanese subjects referred to in the preceding three articles, besides being required to register with the local authorities passports which they must procure under the existing regulations, shall also submit to the police laws and ordinances and taxation of China.

"Civil and criminal cases in which the defendants are Japanese shall be tried and adjudicated by the Japanese Consul; those in which the defendants

3

1

2

6

7 8

9

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

are Chinese shall be tried and adjudicated by Chinese authorities. In either case an officer may be deputed to the court to attend the proceedings. But mixed civil cases between Chinese and Japanese relating to land shall be tried and adjudicated by delegates of both nations conjointly in accordance with Chinese law and local usage.

"When, in future, the judicial system in the said region is completely reformed, all civil and criminal cases concerning Japanese subjects shall be tried and adjudicated entirely by Chinese law courts.

10

11

12

13

17

15

21

25

"Article 6. The Chinese Government agrees, in the interest of trade and for the residence of foreigners, to open by China herself, as soon as possible, certain suitable places in Eastern Inner Mongolia as Commercial Ports.

"Article 7. The Chinese Government agrees speedily to make a fundamental revision of the Kirin-Changchun Railway Loan Agreement, taking as a standard the provisions in railway loan agreements made heretofore between China and foreign financiers.

"When, in future, more advantageious terms than those in existing railway loans, the above agreement shall again be revised in accordance with Japan's

wishes.

2.1

"Article 8. All existing treaties between China and Japan relating to Manchuria shall, except where otherwise provided for by this Treaty, remain in force.

"Article 9. The present Treaty shall come into force on the date of its signature. The present Treaty shall be ratified by His Excellency the President of the Republic of China and His Majesty the Emperor of Japan, and the ratifications thereof shall be exchanged at Tokyo as soon as possible."

I will omit the next paragraph.

"Done at Poking this twenty-fifty day of the fifth month of the fourt". year of the Republic of China, corresponding to the same day of the same month of the fourth year of Taisho, that is, 1915."

Reference is made also to exhibit 2317

(defense document 52) which is the so-called LansingISHII Agreement of November 2, 1917 whereby the
United States recognized that Japan has special
interests in China, particularly in the part to which
her possessions are contiguous.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNE CARR: Your Honor, while this document has been admitted in evidence, so also was

defense exhibit 2318 which shows that the agreement was cancelled in 1922. My friend is not referring to that now, but we thought it right the Court should be reminded of it.

THE PRESIDENT: It was admitted not to prove its present existence but to show that its terms were reasonable, or so I understood.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: In reference to that question, we offer in evidence defense document 383 which is an excerpt certified by the Foreign Office of a back entitled "Diplomatic Commentaries" by Viscount ISHII whose very words are quoted in the Lytton Report as expressing the general view of his countrymen.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: The prosecution objects to this document. It is an argument and a conclusion. No doubt it is quoted in the Lytton Report as such, but in our submission that doesn't make the rest of it admissible in evidence as establishing any facts.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: May I say a few words in reply? This document was translated and edited by Mr. Langdon, at one time American Consul in Mukden.

I respectfully call the attention of the
Tribunal to the fact that such edition of Mr.
Langdon was done in April 1931, a few months prior
to the Mukden Incident, and that this excerpt deals
with such questions as Japanese diplomacy toward
China and Japan's special interests in China.
Viscount ISHII was the Foreign Minister during
the period of 1915-1916 and the special envoy to
the United States in 1917 to conclude the above
mentioned Lansing-ISHII agreement. I am sure
that he was fully qualified to explain what attitude
he took at that time as the representative of the
Japanese government and also explain what was meant
by the words "Japan's special interests in Manchuria."

I especially refer to the statement on page 12, that is to say, chapter 6, "Japan's

special interests in China," of this excerpt, and I submit, respectfully, that these are the statements of facts and not his opinion.

THE PRESIDENT: Did you suggest that it was evidence of the state of mind of the Japanese before the Mukden Incident?

MR. T. CKAMOTO: I suggest that Viscount ISHII's statement here is the explanation which Japan took at that time just prior to the Mukden Incident.

THE PRESIDENT: Was this statement made while Viscount ISHII was Foreign Minister?

MR. T. OKAMOTO: No, sir. He wrote this book after he resigned as Foreign Minister.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Tribunal upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: Next, reference is made to exhibits 2319 and 2319-D, defense document numbers 200 and 200-D, and in particular to appendix I, of No. 2319-D, which shows the conditions of bandits in Manchuria at the time of the Washington Conference. As this part of the exhibit was not read at the time of its introduction, I wish to read it if the Tribunal would allow me. It won't be more than half a page.

2-1

May I read it, sir?

THE PRESIDENT: You may.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: Appendix I, of exhibit

2319-D: (Reading)

"Regarding the present situation in Manchuria, even in and around the South Manchurian Railway Zone, where peace and order are well maintained, the Chinese bandits have often made raids, having evaded the supervision of the Japanese railway guards.

"' The facts above mentioned are clearly shown in the attached lists No. A and No. C.

prevailing in the interior of Manchuria far from the Railway Zone is beyond description. The attached list No. B shows the number of cases of attacks made on the Japanese by the Chinese bandits while the Japanese were traveling through those parts of Manchuria.

"The actual cases of attacks made by them have been far more than we have shown here, because in the attached list we have mentioned only the ones which were reported to the Japanese authorities.

"'The number of cases of attacks made by

1.1

them on the Koreans might be still greater. But most of the Koreans' cases are not reported to the Japanese authorities for fear of a retaliation by the bandits.

"'The condition is worse in North Manchuria.

"'In the region along the Chinese Eastern Railway where they have the Chinese railway guards we are informed that there were 50 cases of attacks made by the bandits during the months of April and May, 1921.

"The forces of the bandits numbered from 50 to 400 men in each case and they committed every kind of ravage.

"'The outrages committed by the Chinese bandits in Chien-Tao last year well demonstrate the fact that lawlessness and disorder are prevailing in that part of Manchuria.

"'In the worst case, the Chinese bandits
made three attacks in the daytime on the city of
Hungchung, near the Japanese border line, regardless
of the fact that the Chinese troops were stationed
in that city, and not only the Japanese Consulate was
burned but also a number of the Japanese were
massacred by them.'"

I won't read these papers, your Honor.

We next offer in evidence defense document 254, which is a report of September 14, 1926, from the Japanese Consul General at Tientsin to the Japanese Foreign Minister, concerning the movement for the restoration of the former Emperor, Pu-Yi, to the throne of China.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: If it please your Honor, the prosecution objects to this document as irrelevant and immaterial. It purports to show that a particular named Chinaman talked to this consul in 1926 about his desire and that of certain of his friends that the imperial family should be restored to rule in China.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: May I say some words, your Honor?

MR. COMYNS CARR: I would like to finish, your Honor.

It also shows that the consul didn't agree with him.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: That is the reason why -- MR. COMYNS CARR: Let me finish.

In my submission, the views of neither gentleman have any bearing on the issues in this

case, nor is it material whether there was or was not a monarchist party in China in 1926.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: If your Honor please,
I submit this document in order to prove that the
Lytton Report was somewhat mistaken in stating
that there were no independence movement in Manchuria, referring to this period -- to these days.

THE PRESIDENT: This isn't evidence of any movement, is it? It is only the evidence of the opinion of one person. Does it disclose Pu-Yi's attitude?

MR. T. OKAMOTO: No, it does not show Pu-Yi's attitude, but the attitude of other Chinese who were the followers of Pu-Yi. And also, there is a second reason: that Japanese government at that time do not -- did not agree to these restoration movements or independence movement. And, further --

B 1 r 2 3 n 4 & 5 W 6 h 7 1 0

THE PRESIDENT: I have repeatedly said here in my view the question is whether the Japanese took advantage of an independence movement, if there was one, or themselves started one.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: In any case I think it is necessary to show the historical background leading up to the Mukden Incident in reference to these independence movements.

THE PAESIDERT: The answer is very tersely put by one of my colleagues: One swallow does not make a summer; the opinion of one Chinaman does not make an independence movement.

The objection is upheld. The Court rejects the document.

Mr. T. OKAMOTO: Then we offer defense document 596 in evidence. This is an instruction of June 17, 1927, to the Japanese Consul General at Tientsin from the Japanese Foreign Minister, concerning the intended trip to Japan of the ex-emperor Pu-Yi. This will show the attitude of Japan toward him and his restoration movement.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: In our submission it is immaterial whether the Japanese Government in 1927 did or did not welcome a proposed visit by Mr. Pu-Yi

13 14

8

9

10

11

12

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

to Japan. It has no bearing, in our submission, on 1 the questions before the Tribunal. In our submission the reasons why the Japanese Government did or did not desire Mr. Pu-Yi to visit Japan at that particular 4 moment cannot assist the Tribunal. 5 Mk. T. OKAMOTO: I think it is material and 6 relevant to the cause, and shows the traditional policy 7 of the Japanese Government in respect to the restora-8 t on of Pu-Yi to the throne and to his movements. 9 Especially the WAKATSUKI Cabinet in 1931 followed 10 the same traditions of the Japanese Government. 11 THE PRESIDENT: Well, it appears to be remote, 12 but nevertheless it will be admitted for what it is 13 worth. 14 The objection is overruled. 15 MR. T. OKAMOTO: Thank you. 16 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 596 17 will receive exhibit No. 2384. 13 (Whereupon, the document above re-19 ferred to was marked defense exhibit No. 20 2384, and was received in evidence.) 21 MR. T. OKAMOTO: I propose to read it. 22 "Strictly confidential No. 93. Tokyo, June 23 24 17, 1927. "To: Consul General KATO at Tientsin.

"From: Foreign Minister Baron TANAKA, Giichi.

"Subject: Trip of the Emperor Hsuantung to

Japan, Port Arthur, and Dairen.

"A gist of the above mentioned matter has already been communicated to you by telegram. For your reference we are forwarding the protocol, 'Trip of the Emrerer Hsuantung to Japan, Port Arthur, and Dairen attached hereto.' Please keep yourself fully informed on the subject.

"Copy sent to: The Minister in China.

"Trip of the Emperor Hsuantung to Japan,
Port Arthur, and Dairen.

"I. Circumstances concerning this matter to date

"(1) In November 1924 when the Emperor Hsuantung took refuge in our Legation in China, we communicated by telegram to Minister YOSHIZAWA in China as follows:

the Kwantung Province, he may be utilized by the Tsungshe Party, or it may give rise to various movements around him. This may cause a situation disadvantageous not only to us but also to the Emperor himself. Such a matter, therefore, must be avoided.'

"In December of the same year, while staying

in our Legation, the Emperor told Minister YOSHIZAWA that he wished to visit Japan.

"The Minister, considering it proper for Japan to agree to the Emperor's visit to Japan for the following reasons, requested instructions for reply to the Emperor's proposal, taking the following factors into consideration:

- "(a) The Emperor Hsuantung, to whom our Imperial House is dear, holds an ardent desire to pay respects to our Imperial House.
- "(b) While there is a prospect in future of many Chinese political refugees visiting Japan, it will not be reasonable if we give permission to these people and not to the Emperor.
- "(c) If the Emperor takes refuge in another country as a result of our not permitting him to come to Japan, it may affect our prestige.

"To this request instructions were dispatched by the Foreign Minister that the Emperor's taking refuge in Japan should be avoided, in view of our policy heretofore pursued, particularly for three reasons:

- "(a) Although our government deeply sympathizes with the Emperor's situation, it is difficult for us to arrange for his visit to our Imperial House at this time.
 - "(b) Being different from a visit to Japan by

3

1

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

other ordinary political refugees, the Emperor's visit to Japan requires very delicate considerations in view of his status and his connection with the Tsungshe Party.

- "(c) Even if the Emperor does take refuge in another country, there is no need of considering it as a question of our prestige.
- "(2) In February 1925 the Emperor Hsuantung moved to Tientsin and has been staying there ever since. We, however, have followed a consistent policy as pursued heretofore in regard to the Emperor's visit to Japan or his moving to Kwantung Province. Recently, however, those close to the Emperor, driven by apprehensions for the sefety of the Emperor as ever, fear that he will not be safe considering the present situation, and are plotting a movement secretly to remove the Emperor to Japan or the Port Arthur-Dairen area."

Next page.

"(a) Under the present political situation
in China, especially today when Tuan Chi-jui and many
other Chinese political leaders are taking refuge in
the Port Arthur-Dairen district, the moving of the
Emperor in that direction will not attract the attention
of the people so much, nor will it give rise to evil
propaganda about the Emperor's relationship with Japan.

1 2

"(b) From the standpoint of the Emperor's 1 finance also, it will be advantageous for him to plan 2 for his future living by investing money in real estate 3 today while prices in the Port Arthur-Dairen area are

I will return to page 3 to the paragraph which I have deleted.

"However, at the same time as far as the time seems to have been reached when a sympathetic consideration should be given to the desire of those close to the Emperor mentioned previously; Councillor ARITA, former Consul General in Tientsin who returned recently, is of the same opinion. Minister YOSHIZAWA in China, however, forwarded his view by telegram stating that the Emperor's removal to Japan is more advantageous both to Japan and to the Emperor himself for two reasons:

"(a) The removal of Emperor Hsuantung to Kwantung Province will add data anew to the question of China's claim for recovering Port Arthur and Dairen which will perhaps arise when the southern influence reaches the whole North China area.

"(b) It will be natural even if the Emperor moves to Kwantung Province that it will more or less attract the people's attention.

6

5

not yet raised."

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

"The telegram also stated that Minister
YOSHIZAWA had no special objection to the Emperor's
removal to Kwantung Province, and that in any event
the Emperor's residence in the Tientsin concession
would become dangerous judging from recent conditions.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"II. Plan for the steps to be taken concerning this case.

"It is recalled in this connection that, when the question of the Emperor Hsuantung's visit to Japan came up some years ago, those related to the Imperial Household stressed the argument that the presentation of the miserable appearance of an abdicated emperor to the general public of Japan would result in showing a living example to some people who have dangerous ideas and the effect would not be good. As it was considered necessary to know the opinion of the Imperial Household Department previously concerning the Emperor Hsuantung's intended visit to Japan at this time, Foreign Minister TANAKA sounded the view of the said Department. It was found that no objection would be raised if the Emperor comes to Japan as a political refugee, but it would be difficult for Japan to extend him special treatment as an abdicated Emperor of a large neighboring nation, as those close to the Emperor expected. It was mentioned, for instance, that, even

if the Emperor wished to visit our Imperial Family or come to take refuge in Japan on board our warship, such a request would not be acceptable.

"In short, if the Emperor Hsuantung would be satisfied with the same treatment as that given to ordinary political refugees of China, without requesting special treatment as an abdicated Emperor, we have no objection to his coming to Japan or to the Port Arthur-Lairen region. It is considered desirable to instruct the Consul General in Tientsin at this time that the above consideration shall be explained to those close to the Emperor at an appropriate time."

We next offer in evidence defense document This is a report of January 11, 1929, from the Chief of Public Safety Bureau of the Kwantung Government to the Chief of Colonial Bureau of the Japanese Cabinet and other officials concerned, with regard to a movement for the restoration of 6 Chin Dynasty in Manchuria, the leader of which was 7 Kun Chin-wang, i.e., uncle of Pu-Yi. 8 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 9 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 307 10 will receive exhibit No. 2385. 11 (Whereupon, the document above re-12 ferred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2385 13 and received in evidence.) 14 MR. T. OKAMOTO: I propose to read it. 15 "Kwantung Police No. 400(2)(Secret) 16 "January 11, 1929. 17 "To: Chief of Colonial Bureau of the 18 19 Cabinet, etc: "From: Chief of Public Safety Bureau of 20 21 K-antung Government. "Subject: Plan to support Kung Chin-Wang. 22 "The movement for supporting Kung Chin-Wang 23 has been tried many times in the past. No concrete 24 development, however, has been seen yet because of 25

the lack of funds and other reasons. Recent information reveals that connection between the bandits in North China and the dissatisfied elements in Mukden has been established. It is also reported that Pa, Commander of Heihochen and concurrently Commander of the Second Cavalry Division, came to a secret agreement with Cheng, Commander of Heiho First Division. Moreover, they came to some sort of understanding with Chang Hai-Peng. Through these hands a considerable number of supporters have been secured in various parts. Recently they started organization of the Three Eastern Provinces Independence army, which is divided into three parts, viz: Dragon Army (organized in Three Eastern Provinces), Ching Army (organized by bandits in Jehol area), and the Fly Army (organized in Shantung and Chihli area). Keeping contact with each other they are planning to raise the Yellow Dragon Flag in the Three Eastern Provinces at a certain time and hoist the signal for independence. Until such time comes, these independence armies will attack local wealthy families to raise funds. At the same time, efforts will be made to seek understanding by persuasion with the local provincial governors. All preparations are made by Pa, Commander of Heihochen, and Cheng, Commander of the First

23

24

25

1

3

Division. They distributed secretly the following propaganda bills to urge the cause of Kung Chin-Wang. Developments are being carefully watched.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"In Praise of Kung Chin-Wang.

"Proclamation: The Three Eastern Provinces are Prince Kung's Eastern Province and our fathers and children are his intimate friends. Our forefathers shared pleasure and pain with his ancestors for three hundred years as one day. Since Chang Tso-Lin captured the Three Eastern Provinces, however, good people have suffered from his evil government and neighboring powers have interfered in our domestic affairs. For this reason, heavenly punishment was inflicted upon him and he fell under a bomb. His son, Chang Hsueh Liang, who succeeded him, is worse than his father, to such an extent that the land has been turned red and the sufferings of the people are beyond description. Chin Wang adores the country, thinks of his native land and moves his people. Leading half a million soldiers, he will raise armies to save his people in distress, driving our enemy Chang Hsueh Liang away, and rehabilitating the Three Eastern Provinces. He considers the welfare of the people to be his religion, will improve government, promote friendship with good

1 neighbors and make the termination of the war as his 2 prime object. He will make the Three Eastern Pro-3 vinces an independent land, and will not interfere with anything south of the Great Wall. He takes the Yellow Dragon Flag as the national flag of the Three Eastern Provinces, and corrects the evils of the soldiery. Those who follow him will survive, but those hostile to him will perish. All the people will enjoy work. The Prince will protect all localities alike. All civil and military officials who surrender voluntarily will be well treated. Those 11 who are opposed will be killed and their properties 12 confiscated. Ten Rules are hereby proclaimed for 13 14 everybody to observe. 15

"Ten Rules.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

"1. Chin Wang will maintain independence of the Three Eastern Provinces, and will not interfere with anything south of the Great Wall. He will make the people's welfare his religion, improve government, promote friendship with neighboring nations, make the termination of war his ultimate object, will eradicate the results of the evilacts of Chang, father and son, and make the Yellow Dragon Flag the national flag of the Three Eastern Provinces.

"2. China and Japan are old civilized

countries, and the Three Eastern Provinces are specially related to Japan. The Prince will establish all government policies on the basis of sincere friend-ship with Japan so that both countries may enjoy the same profits.

- "3. The economic relations between Europe-America and China being satisfactory, all properties of the people in the Three Eastern Provinces will be protected as far as possible, and all affairs disposed of in accordance with the past usage.
- "4. The railway treaties will be observed as in the past.
- "5. The people in the Three Eastern Provinces will be protected by the local civil and military officials. Whenever they receive unreasonable treatment, their claims will be heard.
- "6. Various princes in Mongolia having the same custom as, and being friendly with, the Manchurian and Han races, they will be protected as far as possible.
- "7. If civil or military officials surrender, they will be allowed to hold offices as in the past, and will be well treated. If they are opposed, they will be punished without mercy.
 - "8. If the armies in the Three Eastern

Provinces surrender, they will be well treated and promoted by merits. If they do not, they will be arrested and punished by death, and their families will be treated likewise and their properties confiscated.

"9. Though the bandits in the Three Eastern
Pr vinces came from good families, they fell into
the present plight because of ill-treatment of Chang,
father and son. If they repent their past errors
they will be employed by the government in the
uniform way.

"10. As all public affairs are too complicated, they will be simplified by Chin Wang, and good government will be instituted gradually leading to peaceful life.

"Seventeenth Year of the Chinese Republic."

Next we offer in evidence defense document

No. 676, a report of February 5, 1929, from the

Japanese Charge d'Affaires ad interim in China, to

the Japanese Foreign Minister, concerning the pre
mulgation of the regulations for the confiscation of

Japanese goods.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document

No. 676 will receive exhibit No. 2386.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2386 and received in evidence.)

MR. T. OKAMOTO: I propose to read it.

"Official Note from HORI, Yoshitaka, Charge d'Affaires ad interim to China, to Baron TANAKA, Giichi, Foreign Minister, dated February 5, 1929.

"Subject: Concerning Promulgation of the Ragulations for Confiscation of Japanese Goods.

"It has been reported that the AntiJapanese Society note issued under date of 2nd inst.
What they call the Regulations for Confiscation of
Japanese Goods, the text of which was published in
the papers, I hereby submit for your information the
translation of same as per enclosure.

"Regulations for the Confiscation of Japanese Goods.

"Article 1. Such Japanese goods held in stock by merchants in this city as have not been registered after lapse of the time-limit of registration, i.e. November 26, 1928, shall all be confiscated.

"Article 2. Such Japanese goods ordered before November 26, 1928, and not yet arrived, as have not been registered at this Society according

to written orders within the term of registration, shall be confiscated upon their arrival at Peiping.

"Article 3. Japanese goods ordered on and after December 1, 1928, shall all be confiscated, provided, however, that this is limited to goods under the absolute ban.

"Article 4. With regard to Japanese goods under the relative ban, contributions towards the Save-the-nation Fund shall still be collected in accordance with the Registration Regulations & tablished by the National Anti-Japanese Society.

"Article 7. In case a commercial house has registered Japanese goods and received Save-the-nation Fund slips, but has failed to affix the same to 'he goods, or in case the number on an affixed slip does not tally with that on the register, such goods shall be confiscated.

"Article 8. In case, with regard to Japanese goods to be confiscated according to the provisions of the present Regulations, the treacherous merchant has resisted confiscation, or has by other means made confiscation impossible, the said merchant shall be taken to this Society and handed over to the Judgement Committee, which will try him and punish him."

Next we offer in evidence defense document

No. 481. This is a report of April 24, 1929, from the Japanese Consul at Kirin (Manchuria) to the Japanese Foreign Minister, concerning the secret order issued by the Chinese authorities, strictly prohibiting the lease of house sites to the Japanese.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

on two grounds. In the first place, on the ground that the subject is in any case irrelevant, and in the second place, on the ground that it is only reporting a rumor. It says that the person making the report made inquiries and found it was probably a fact that the prefectural government issued a secret circular. In our submission, for those two reasons the document has no probative value and deals with an irrelevant subject.

THE PRESIDENT: The first ground equally struck the last document admitted. As to the second ground what have you to say?

MR. T. OKAMOTO: That is based on official reports received from the Consul General at Tielin and various other places in Manchuria, received by the Foreign Office, and whether it is rumor or not that is not the question at all in this connection. These reports affected the attitude of the Japanese govern-

	ment in any case at that time. THE PRESIDENT: The Court by a large majority
	admits the document. The objection is overruled.
	admits the document.
3	
,	
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	
25	

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 481 will receive exhibit No. 2387.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense document No. 2387 and received in evidence.)

MR. T. OKAMOTO: And I propose to read.

"April 24, the fourth Year of Showa (1929)
at Tielin.

"From: KONDO, Shinichi, Consul at Tielin (Manchuria).

"To: Baron TAMAKA, Giichi, Foreign Minister.

"Subject: On the Secret Order issued by the Chinese Authorities, strictly prohibiting the lease of house-sites to the Japanese.

"As already stated in our report, Confidential No. 150, dated April 20, the Governor of Tielin-Hsien (TN hsien is a prefectural unit of local government) issued a proclamation prohibiting private lease or sale of land to a foreigner, under the instruction of the Provincial Government which was transmitted by the circular issued by the General Headquarters of Border Garrison. Having kept a watch on the matter, we found that it was probably a fact that the Prefectural Government issued a secret circular to all civil organs, large and small, to

mation. It says that all leases of house-sites to Japanese residents outside the S. M. Railway Zone at Tielin shall be strictly prohibited and those in existence shall be recovered as soon as possible with the view to prevent possible disputes, any act contrary to the regulation being severely punished.

"A comparatively large number of Japanese have leased land outside the railway zone, namely, in the open-port quarter and within the city wall, some of them being confronted with the expiration of the terms during this year. In such a time and circumstance, it is rather difficult to foresee what an attitude the Chinese landlords might take in the future, but I will take a firm stand and timely action in making a strong protest against such an anti-Japanese action in order to check further undesirable effect whenever it might happen without justificable reasons in the town adjoining to the railway zone. Judging from present status of our countrymen here, I am afraid that the Chinese side would take advantage of this opportunity in trying to recover the land when the term of lease expires this summer, and rather complicated questions might arise in succession. Some Japanese may be

24

1

2.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

delinquent in payment of rent, while others may be in default of obligations, owing to the depression . which made them difficult to meet their debts owed to the Chinese during the boom in the past.

"Such cases as nonpayment of rent pending for many years, will supply, I fear, good excuse to the Chinese side to recover the land and unpleasant circumstances must be taken into consideration when we start negotiations on the questions arising from the failure of payment. The Chinese side seems to be taking a cautious attitude in avoiding to come in contact with Japanese, and the Chinese officials are guiding the people on this line.

"Accordingly the Chinese official attitude is expected to be more meddlesome not only in the lease of house or lands but also in the general commercial transactions."

We offer in evidence defense document 482. This is a report under date of August 2, 1929, from the Japanese Director of the Bureau of Police Affairs in the Kwantung Province, to the Japanese Vice-Minister of Overseas Affairs and other functionaries, concerning the Chinese regulations prohibiting sale of lands to foreigners.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 482 will receive exhibit No. 2388.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense document No. 2388 and received in evidence.)

MR. T. OKAMOTO: I propose to read.

"From: Director of the Bureau of Police Affairs, Government-general of Kwantung.

"To: Vice-Minister of Overseas Affairs.

Chief secretary of the Cabinet. Vice-Minister of
Foreign Affairs. Director of Police Bureau, Ministery
of Home Affairs. Chief of Staff, Kwantung Army. Chief,
Kwantung Gendarmerie. Naval Resident Officer of Kwantung Leased Territory. Chief, Information Section of
S.M. Rly. Co.

"Subject: An act prohibiting Unlawful Sale or Disposition of National Lands.

"Having been irritated by the case of Chikun Taipao Industrial Company's farm at Hsinmintun, Liaonin Province and the question of cutting off the Peiling Railway, etc., the Chinese authorities decided to prohibit unlawful sale of land with the death penalty and drafted an act for the purpose of preventing the loss of national lands and interests.

"The act was passed at the 46th Conference of the Provincial (T.N. Executive) Council held on 24 inst. The North Eastern Political Council has sent the act to the Central Government in Nanking for sanction.

"The Act reads as follows: Provisional Act to prohibit with penalty Unlawful Sale or Disposition

of National Lands.

"Article 1. A person who sells, mortgages or leases to foreigners lands, houses, hill-wastes, forests, or mines owned by himself, third persons or by the public or the state, without permission of the authorities concerned shall be condemned as guilty of unlawful sale of national lands (T.N. The term 'national land' here simply means all sorts of real estate) and be punished in accordance with the provisions of this Act.

"Article 2. Any act of acceptance by a foreigner, of whatever nationality he may be, of national lands designated in the foregoing Article and sold unlawfully by Chinese shall be regarded to be null and void by the Provincial Government.

"Article 3. A person who unlawfully disposes of national lands shall be punished with the following penalty:

- "(1) A person who disposes unlawfully of land owned either by the State, the public or a third person shall be punished by death.
- "(2) A person who disposes unlawfully of national lands owned by himself, shall be punished either by death or by penal servitude for life.

"A person who committed the crimes mentioned

in the foregoing items shall be fined up to the amount of the sale in addition to the execution of the penalty, and a whole or part of his property shall be seized and confiscated.

"Article 4. A person who has become a nominal owner in order to purchase stolen (T.N. Un-lawfully disposed) national lands designated in the Article One of this Act receiving supply of fund from foreigners for the purpose shall be punished according to the Provision Two of the Article Three of this Act with a possible mitigation.

"Article 5. Middleman and consignatories in the case of unlawful disposition of national lands shall be regarded as accomplices and punished with the penalty of one or two grades lighter than that for the principal offender."

THE PRESIDENT: The certificate shows the date is the second of August, 1929.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: That is right, sir.

We next offer in evidence defense document 480 which is a report of August 19, 1929, from the Japanese Consul General at Chientao to the Japanese Foreign Minister, concerning the Chinese proclamation to enforce the regulations on the lease of land to Korean farmers.

THE P

will receive exhibit No. 2389.

and received in evidence.)

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 480

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2389

MR. T. OKAMOTO: I propose to read it.

7 8

"Confidential 952. August 19, the Fourth

Year of Showa (that is, 1929) at Chientao.

"From: OKADA, Kenichi, Consul General at Chientao.

"To: BARON SHIDEHARA, Kijuro, Foreign Minister.

"Subject: On the Proclamation of and the Instruction to enforce the Regulation of the Lease of Arable Waste Land to Korean Farmers enacted by Chinese Authorities. (Report from the Chief of Police Substation at Toutaokou, Hunchun, Manchuria).

"Regarding to the subject, Chang-Tso hsiang,
Chief of the Provincial Government of Kirin, is said
to have enacted and proclaimed Regulations on the Lease
of Arable Waste Land to Korean Farmers dated the 2d day
of August and given the following instruction to the
Governors of all hsiens (T.N. Prefecture). The gist of
the regulation transmitted by the Governor of Hunchun-

Hsien to all village heads under his jurisdiction reads as follows: As it aims at restricting ownership of land by Koreans, its enforcement is expected to have a considerable influence.

"We are carefully watching the trend of the situation.

"Remarks: In view of the tendency of gradual increase of Korean farmers immigrating into our province from Korea, the Provincial Government enacted a regulation on the lease of arable waste land to Korean farmers in order to secure the living conditions of the local inhabitants and the poor immigrants pouring in from those localities as Shantung, Hopei, etc., as well as to check rapid increase of Koreans. We hope that all prefectural authorities do their best in enforcing the regulation by supervising the organs under their juris-

diction.

"Article 1. This regulation shall be applied to Korean residents within the Province of Kirin.

"Article 2. All Korean residents within the Province shall, whether they are nationalized or not, have no right to acquire arable waste lands.

"Article 3. Koreans are prohibited to apply to the competent authorities for the lease of arable waste lands.

"Article 4. This regulation shall be applied to the Koreans who have already received the permission of the reclamation office with the object to possess the arable waste land before the proclamation of the regulation and are already in the act of reclaiming it.

"Article 5. Any Korean who has received the foregoing permission and cultivating the waste land made it matured shall be entitled to the right of its possession.

"Article 6. Farmers to be employed in the reclamation work of waste land shall be mainly Chinese, whether it is managed by the authorities or by the civilians, provided that this article shall not be applied to the cultivation of rice fields."

THE PRESIDENT: This is a convenient break. We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1045 a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

G 1 0 1 2 d b 3 e r 4 g 5

& 6 K 7 p 8

e 9 u 10

13

12

15

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

MR. MIMASHI: MIMASHI, counsel for the accused KOISO.

If the Court please, I should like to make a brief statement. During the recess, when the screening of defense documents was undertaken, a number of documents which this counsel wished to tender to the Court as evidence were denied by rule of the majority of the defense counsel. Although naturally there are differences of opinion among different counsel, yet this counsel -- that is, I believe that there are certain documents which I feel to be important in the defense of my own client. It is also believed that because of the very nature of the documents they were regarded to be more appropriate to present as evidence during the general phase of this case rather than in the individual defense case -- phase of the case. If these documents are tendered in evidence in the individual phase without any reservations to that effect, then there might be objections raised to the effect that the document was being tendered after the end of a phase -- or after a certain phase had been completed, or that it was irrelevant;

and therefore I desire to have the permission of this Tribunal to be permitted to tender such documents in evidence as soon as the processing of such documents have been completed.

Although translation of some of them has been completed and some of these documents have already been stencilled and are ready for printing, we have at this stage not been able to have them completely printed for distribution. It is doubtful at the present juncture whether our printing facilities would be sufficient to have these documents in which I am interested printed; and for that reason I should like to request the Tribunal, if I may, that these printing facilities be expanded so that the processing of such documents could be fully considered.

I should also like to add, if I may, that there are other Japanese defense counsel who entertain similar discontent and dissatisfaction as I do.

THE PRESIDENT: You will have no grievance if while you are putting the case for KOISO you are allowed to tender relevant and material documents. That is as much as we can say. It does not follow that because a document is not tendered during a phase it cannot be used afterwards if it bears on

 the phase. KOISO will be able to tender every document that helps him; there will be nothing to stop him at the proper time. But it must be bvious to you that each individual counsel cannot get his own way in putting the phases.

MR. MIMASHI: I understand your words, your Honor, but I made this statement because it may be felt that certain documents which would be regarded as irrelevant in the general phase may also be regarded in the same light in the individual phase, because I have the feeling that -- because it may be regarded that documents in the general phase may not be regarded as having any direct connection in the individual phase, although I should add that if the general phase progresses satisfactorily, then it would redound satisfactorily to all accused involved.

THE PRESIDENT: I cannot follow your reasoning. If a document is not admitted during the
general phase and you think it helps your client,
you will be able to tender it and it will be admitted if it is relevent and material to his case
and if it is not repetitive. That qualification is
always there.

As a matter of interest, who is KOISO's

American counsel? We don't prefer his word to yours, but who is he?

MR. MIMASHI: Mr. Brooks, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: The division of opinion does not help you, of course.

American counsel that a group of fifteen Japanese attorneys and a relevant number of American attorneys on a committee screened these documents very closely and we tried to rule out anything that was cumulative. Certain books are being referred to, I think, and newspaper articles which were ruled out at the time as being cumulative and of very little probative value.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Warren.

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, at least there will be some misunderstanding on the part of the accused as to what occurred. We had a committee carefully selected to screen materials for this division. The documents to which counsel refers were not in the opinion of that committee relevant to the issues now being presented. If counsel desires to use these documents later in his own phase, he is at liberty to do so, and I feel confident the facilities for reproduction will be there.

We are proceeding in accordance with the plan adopted by all the Japanese and American counsel, and all counsel are well aware of that fact.

MR. MIMASHI: If these documents may be tendered during the individual phase, I am satisfied. Thank you.

MR. T. (KAMOTO: Mr. President, Mr. OHARA will continue the presentation of documents. THE PRUSIDENT: Mr. OHARA. Ma. OHARA: We offer in evidence defense document 486, which is a report of November 8, 1929, 5 from the Japanese Consul General at Kirin to the Japanese Foreign Minister on the subject of the Chinese regulations concerning the control of rice field irrigation in Kirin Province. 10 THE P'ESIDENT: Admitted or the usual terms. 11 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 486 12 will receive exhibit No. 2390. 13 (Whereupon, the document above re-14 ferred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2390 15 and received in evidence.) 16 THE MONITOR: Your Honor, we asked the 17 Japanese counsel where he started to read from. 18 Language Section does not happen to have the English 19 text of the present document, that is, some pages 20 seem to be missing. 21 MR. CHARA: We shall read defense document 22 486, exhibit 2390. 23 "Official: No. 731 (Translation) 24 "November 8, the Fourth Year of Showa, at Kirin 25 "From: ISHII, Itaro, Consul-General at

Kirin

"TO: SHIDEHARA, Kijuro, Foreign Mirister
"Subject: On the Provisional Regulation concerning the Control of Rice-field Irrigation, enacted
by the Board of Construction, Provincial Government
of MIRIN

"(Middle part omitted)

"Provisional Regulation concerning the Control of Rice-field Irrigation, enacted by the Board of Construction, Provincial Government of KIRIN.

"Chapter One, General Pule

"Article 1 The present regulation is enacted with the object of controlling rice-field irrigation throughout the whole Province and the Board of Construction is in charge of this matter."

Skipping to Chapter Three, Control:

"Article 8. Cnly Chinese nationals are allowed to reclair and cultivate rice-fields.

"In the case of large scale enterprises
foreigners are allowed to be employed only when technical
knowledge is needed. In such a case, one who employs
a foreigner shall report to that effect to the competent
Prefectural Government which is bound to transmit the
matter further to the Board of Construction for its
consideration."

Next, defense document 306 is offered in evidence. It is a report of December 26, 1929 from the Japanese Consul General at Tientsin to the Foreign Minister concerning a plan for the establishment of a Manchou-Mongolian empire.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CATR: May it please your Honor, the prosecution objects to this document. It is of the same type as defense document 254 already rejected, namely, as the last paragraph of it states -- the consul is reporting to the foreign minister --

"....the foregoing stories, as I have heard them, for your reference," quoting the words.

In my submission, there is no probative value in that, and, in any case, it is immaterial to know whether there were certain people in Manchuria or Mongolia who desired to see the Emperor restored to the throne.

MR. OHARA: May I call your attention, your Honors of the Tribunal, to the fact that the Lytton Report says that there was no independence movement prior to September 18, 1931. According to this document, your Honor, at least it is known that as early as 1929 there was a movement for the restoration -- for the independence of Manchuria both in Manchuria and

Q

Mongolia by a group close to the Emperor Hsuantung, at least the fact that there were reports to that effect; and I submit that this fact is highly important to the present case. It is my submission that this document will be of assistance to this Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is upheld by a majority. The document is rejected.

IMR. CHARA: Defense document 277 is offered in evidence. It is a report of December 17, 1930 from the Japanese Acting Consul General to the Foreign Minister concerning the statement of Cheng Chui with regard to movements in provinces other than Manchuria for the restoration of Pu-Yi to the throne. The Tribunal might recall that Pu-Yi testified to the fact that Cheng Chui was one of his four advisers.

MR. COMYNS CARR: This document is of exactly the same type as the last, a statement said to have been made not even to the consul himself but to somebody else by an individual Chinese. It is not even about Manchuria at all, but about the desire of the Dalai Lama of Tibet to see the monarchy restored in China as a whole.

MR. OHARA: The prosecution just referred to someone, but that someone is not an indefinite, unspecified person but none other than Cheng Chui, one of the

four advisers of Pu-Yi, and the person who heard 1 these statements from Cheng Chui was a vice-consul by the name of Goto. The fact that Mr. Goto was a. 3 vice-consul is made clear in a document which has been rejected by the Tribunal, document No. 306; and if the Tribunal wishes to make clear the fact 6 that Mr. Goto was vice-consul, we can present this document 306 again; and through this document we wish 8 to show that the independence movement in Inner 9 Mongolia -- as far as the independence movement of 10 Inner Mongolia -- in Manchuria and Mongolia, Japan 11 12 did not help that independence movement. 13 THE MONITOR: This is a point we wish to

THE MONITOR: This is a point we wish to clarify.

MR. OHARA (Continuing): In the Indictment it says that the Japanese guided Manchurian independence movement, so I wanted to make this point clear.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

19

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23 24

MR. OHARA: Defense document 282 is offered in evidence. It is an article of the Manchuria Nichi Nichi, dated August 26, 1931, to the effect that a plot for the restoration of the Ching Dynasty was discovered as the result of the arrest of communists in Mukden. This newspaper clipping is in the custody of the Japanese Foreign Office.

MR. COMYNS /(RR: We object to this document, your Honor, on two grounds: In the first place, that it is merely a newspaper story not purporting to be a report of a speech by any person whose speech would be material or any kind of official announcement; and secondly on the ground that in any event the material referred to in it is irrelevant. It related to some alleged plot by somebody who was thought to be a communist but, according to the newspaper, turned out to be acting on behalf of somebody called the Great Sword Association. And, your Honor, I should add that the plot in question appears to be alleged to relate to the whole of China, the restoration of the monarchy in the whole of China, and not to any separatist movement for Manchuria.

MR. OHARA: The prosecution just referred -said newspaper articles. But the prosecution itself
has presented much evidence which consisted of newspaper

worth believing but in our everyday life the newspaper is an organ through which we learn various facts quickly and in that respect it is a very important organ. In this newspaper article there are no opinions in this newspaper article but it reports the fact that a plot had been discovered. It says in here that the movement was for the restoration of the Ching Dynasty but at least it shows that such a movement was centered in Manchuria around the Emperor Hsientung.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. OHARA: Defense document 557 is offered in evidence. It is a report of June 9, 1931, from the Japanese Director of the Department of Korean Affairs in the Ministry of Oversea Affairs to the Director of Asiatic Affairs Bureau of the Foreign Office, concerning the enforcement of the Chinese disciplinary law against lease or sale of land to foreigners.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 557 will receive exhibit No. 2391.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2391 and received in evidence.)

MR. OHARA: (Reading) "Korea, I No. 1837.

"9 June 1931. 1 THE PRESIDENT: Don't read until the judges 2 have copies. You may read. 3 MR. OHARA: (Reading) "Korea, I No. 1837. 4 "9 June 1931. The Director of the Department of Korea Affairs, "From: 6 Ministry of Oversea Affairs. 7 "To: The Director of Asiatic Affairs Bureau, Foreign 8 Office. 9 "Subject: Enforcement of the Disciplinary Law against 10 the Unlawful Sale of Land. 11 "A dispatch from the Chief Civil Administrator 12 of the Government-General in Korea, reveals that accord-13 ing to recent information, the Governor of the Liaonin 14 Province, upon the advice of Executive Committee, the 15 Negotiations Committee, and the Board of Civil Admin-16 istration, has formulated a Liaonin Province Special 17 Law entitled 'the Disciplinary Law against the Unlawful 18 19 Sale of land' a copy of which is herein inclosed, and 20 has decided that the same shall be enforced on and 21 after 1 May of this year. I have the honour of sub-22 mitting this information for your reference.

"The Disciplinary Law against the Unlawful

"Article 1. Whoever leases Chinese land to a

23

24

25

Sale of Land.

foreigner shall be, if the lot is more than one mu
(TN: One mu or mou equals 6,144 are or 0.15 acre) and
less than five, sentenced to a penal servitude for a
term of five years and land shall be confiscated. Whoever sells Chinese land to a foreigner shall be if the
lot is more than one mu and less than five be sentenced
to a penal servitude for a term of ten years and his
property shall be confiscated for the purpose of raising
money sufficient to redeem the land.

"Article 2. Whoever leases Chinese land to a foreigner shall be, if the lot is more than five mu and less than ten, sentenced to a penal servitude for a term of ten years, and the land shall be confiscated. Whoever sells Chinese land to a foreigner shall be, if the lot is more than five mu and less than ten sentenced to a penal servitude for a term of twenty years, and his property shall be confiscated to raise money sufficient to redeem the land.

"Article 3. Whoever leases Chinese land to a foreigner shall be, if the lot is more than ten mu and less than twenty, sentenced to a penal servitude for a term of twenty years, and the land shall be confiscated. Whoever sells Chinese land to a foreigner shall be, if the lot is more than ten mu and less than twenty, sentenced to a penal servitude for a term of

16.

forty years, and his property shall be confiscated to raise money sufficient to redeem the land.

"Article 4. One who leases or sells Chinese land to a foreigner shall be, if the lot is more than twenty and less than fifty, be sentenced to a renal servitude for life and the land shall be confiscated. His property, however, shall be exempted.

"Article 5. Whoever leases or sells Chinese land to a foreigner shall; be, if the lot is more than fifty mu and less than one hundred, sentenced to death and the land shall be confiscated. His property, however, shall be exempted.

"Article 6. Whoever leases or sells Chinese land to a foreigner shall be, if the lot is more than one hundred mu and less than two hundred, sentenced to death, and the middle-man to a penal servitude for a term of five years.

"Article 7. Whoever leases or sells Chinese land to a foreigner shall be, if the lot is more than two hundred mu and less than five hundred, sentenced to death and the middle-man to a menal servitude for a term of more than ten years and less than thirty.

"Article 8. Whoever leases or sells Chinese land to a foreigner shall be, if the lot is more than five hundred mu and less than one thousand, sentenced

to death and the middle-man to a penal servitude for life.

"Article 9. The foregoing eight items shall be transmitted to 58 hsien of the whole province and shall be enforced on and after the First day of May in the 20th Year of the Republic of China.

"(The rest omitted)"

Counsel OKAMOTO will handle the presentation of the following evidence -- evidence to follow.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel OKAMOTO.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: We now offer in evidence a series of articles of the Japan Chronicle, covering the period of July, August and September, 1931, in order to show the conditions of Manchuria at that time, just prior to the Mukden Incident. We have chosen this paper because it is generally known to the public that this paper was at one time the most influential journal in the English language, issued in Japan, and was edited by Mr. Young, an Englishman who was rather inclined to be anti-Japanese. Hence, it may be said that the reports appearing in this paper were in no way propaganda of the Japanese Government. We are prepared to produce witnesses, should the character of the journal be at issue.

The first of the series to be offered in evidence is defense document No. 167. It is an article in the issue of July 3, 1931, entitled "Chinese Attack Koreans - Band of 400 Destroy Dam Built Under Guard."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, in our submission this document is objectionable for several reasons which will apply to most of the others which will follow.

With regard to newspaper articles generally, our submission is this: We have used them where they contain reports of efficial announcements; also where they contain reports of speeches by any of the accused; also where they are official publications like the Tokyo Gazette. In other cases we have called in evidence the journalists themselves such as Mr. Powell and Mr. Goette to confirm the statements in their articles. In our submission those are the limits to which newspaper articles can have probative value.

The particular document now offered, and many of the others about to be offered, consists of articles from the Japan Chronicle quoting other newspapers.

This one quotes a story from the Asahi.

The other ground of objection is that the subject matter of this, and many others of the documents about to be tendered, namely, disputes between Chinese and Korean farmers is adequately covered in the Lytton Report and these newspaper articles are merely repetitious.

THE PRESIDENT: Isn't the Lytton Report sufficient evidence of any anti-Japanese feeling?

IR. T. OKAMOTO: I am afraid that it is not; and may I say a few words in reply to the prosecution.

According to the Charter of the Tribunal ordinary rules of evidence will not apply in this case and even newspapers and other secondary evidence will be admitted as evidence in case the originals are not found.

In particular, our intention in offering this kind of evidence is not only for the purpose of proving that such and such facts occurred at a certain time, but for the purpose of showing how an event was reported in newspapers at that time, thereby influencing the public opinion both at home and abroad.

THE PRESIDENT: We will assume, I think, that the accused were aware of everything in the Lytton Report in their favor.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: The Lytton Report gives a summary of the Wanpaoshah Incident, but it does not sufficiently express the critical atmosphere prevailing in these days between China and Japan. That is my submission.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: There are a series of newspaper articles but I hope the Tribunal will take them on their merits respectively.

Next we offer in evidenc defense document 279, which is an article in the same journal of July 19, 1931, entitled "The Korean Affair - Endeavor to settle it at Mukden."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, this document is open to all the same objections as the last.

THE PRESIDENT: I cannot hear you. There are too many people standing there.

MR. COMYNS CARR: This document is open to all the same objections as the last, including the fact that it is only a quotation from one newspaper in another newspaper; and also to two further objections.

As to the first part of it, it purports to be an account of the doings of Mr. HAYASHI, the Japanese Consul-General at Mukden. Mr. HAYASHI's reports are available in the Japanese Foreign Office and have been used by both sides, and, presumably, if this newspaper article is correct, it could be -- the contents could be proved in that much better way.

THE PRESIDENT: For the time being I can not see why the decision on the last newspaper article should not cover the decision on the remaining articles.

Is there any exception in the remaining

articles?

2

3

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

MR. T. OKAMOTO: Yes, sir, but I would like to just take these documents one by one in order not to upset the order of proof which I have given to the Language Section.

THE PRESIDENT: Why waste time on a number of documents which we know must be rejected?

MR. T. OKAMOTO: I will just skip over these titles and present the relevant articles if I find any. May I,sir?

THE PRESIDENT: Well, I have already said we would like to know whether in any of the remaining articles there is something special which the decision already given does not cover.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: My colleagues suggest that the journal just offered, defense document No. 279, will show the circumstances under which China and Japan tried to arrive at a settlement with regard to the aforesaid incident, that is, the Wanpaoshan Incident, which are not written in the Lytton Report. However, I shall withdraw that article, defense document No. 279 and next offer --

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until half-past one.

(Whereupon, at 1200 a recess was taken.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AFTERNOON SESSION

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. OKAMOTO.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: May I call the attention of the Tribunal to the passage on page 7341 of the transcript where Mr. President remarked as follows:

"No need to say more, Fr. Golunsky.

There is no requirement that the only documents admitted shall be official documents."

And I think these newspaper reports which I have submitted this morning are all relevant and material, and we expect to connect them up later on with more material facts, and we should like to offer it for whatever probative value they have.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, they appear to be all alike, so the only thing to do is to apply the first decision to the lot, that is, to reject them all.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: May I take it as the ruling of the Tribunal?

THE PRESIDENT: Unless the other Judges desire each document to be submitted separately, and I have no intimation that they so desire, so that

is a ruling. 1 IR. T. OKAMOTO: May I roint out that the prosecution has submitted newspaper article, that 3 is to say, a part of the article of the Japan Times, as evidence in respect of defendant NINAMI's 5 speech, and I should like to tender an article from the Japan Chronicle as supplement to what he said 7 at that time, which are not covered by the article 8 of Japan Times. 9 Does that article fall into the category 10 which the President has just now ruled? 11 THE PRESIDENT: You have not tendered that 12 document yet. This is not in that category that 13 you specified some time ago. It may prove to be 14 of the same quality and then will be rejected. 15 MR. T. OKAMOTO: I understand that the 16 President means that the Premier of Japan at that 17 time, that is to say, 1931, made a speech, and that 18 speech was reported in the Japan Chronicle. 19 THE PRESIDENT: Who was the Premier? 20 MR. T. OKAMOTO: Baron "AKATSUKI, your 21 22 Fonor. THE PRESIDENT: If that is relevant I do 23 not think it will be rejected if tendered, unless 24

it is objected to and the objection sustained.

```
MR. T. OKAMOTO: Then -- Language Section,
I getart from number 23 of my running commentary,
page 7 of the English, and page 6 of Japanese text,
-4 number 23.
         "e offer in evidence defense document 326,
w!6ich is an article of July 22, 1931, entitled
"Japan's Continental Rights." This is a speech of
Premier WAKATSUKI upon the Manchurian question.
         THE PRESIDENT: If there is no objection
ile is admitted on the usual terms.
11
         Mr. Comyns Carr.
         MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Fonor, we certainly
12
den't object on the ground that it is a newspaper
report of a speech. We wouldn't object on that
found. The only objection I have is that it doesn't
appear to be of any assistance one way or the other
whatever. Fr. WAKATSUKI gave evidence -- he wasn't
cross-examined about this speech, and it merely
reflects the attitude he took in the witness' box,
which we have never attacked.
         MR. T. OKAMOTO: "itness WAKATSUKI testified
 21
fn this Tribunal --
 23
         THE PRESIDENT: The decision to receive it
stands.
 25
         CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 326
```

will receive exhibit No. 2392.

(Thereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2392 and received in evidence.)

LR. T. OKAMOTO: (Reading)

"Article Extracted from 'The Japan Chronicle' July 22, 1931 (page 4)

"Japan's Continental Rights

"Making a full-dress speech at Akita on Sunday, Baron "AKATSUKI, the Premier, said that there had lately been some talk of the need for establishing a 'fundamental' China policy so that the problems of l'anchuria and Mongolia might be definitely settled. The Premier, however, said that his Government and the party on which it is based have always had a fundamental policy, and that there is therefore no need to enunciate a new one.

"He was very plain on the subject that Japan has certain rights and interests in Manchuria and Mongolia which are essential to her existence, and that these can in no circumstances be abandoned, no matter who demands their abandonment. This pronouncement, made at the present time, is a revival of a contention that has long existed and which makes a clear distinction between these northern regions

and the rest of China. Japan has already, by treaty right, the privilege of residence and landholding. It has constantly been complained that she is unable to exercise it because the Chinese are determined to keep Japanese off the land. It is obvious, however, that there are some notable exceptions. The 'Japanese farm' at Nukden and the "anpaoshan estate are notable instances in which land in large tracts has become available, and the late Baron OKURA's Pongolian holdings (still in the firm or the family) are supposed to be very extensive indeed. In fact, the Wanpaoshan estate, the cause of all the recent trouble, is an instance of where the Japanese acquirement of land has been successfully accomplished but without successfully overcoming the local opposition. It will be remembered that, when the Chine Consortium was formed (or revived) with Messrs. J.P. Morgan & Co. in a leading position, there was a long tussle over the exclusion of Manchuria and Mongolia, which ended in the Consortium agreement including these regions but, though they offered the most promising outlet for capital, never even considering a loan for their develorment. The nearest that Morgan & Co. got to developing Manchuria was some eight years afterwards,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

7 8

when the firm (not the Consortium) was to make a loan to the South Manchuria Railway, but was deterred by the noise that the Chinese made about the alliance of American capitalism and Japanese imperialism.

"Baron WAKATSUKI expressly repudiated any imperialistic schemes and all desire for territorial aggrandisement; but he affirmed Japan's rights and the resolve never to abandon them. The chief of these rights are, of course, the control of the leased territory of Liaotung and of the Manchurian railway zone. Very important also are the right to protest against the construction of any line of reilway deliberately designed to parallel the South Manchuria Railway and the right to be invited to furnish any development loans. As Baron WAKATSUKI said, neighbours are apt to have their little tiffs in all parts of the world, and there have been some over this question.

"The Premier was very discreet concerning
the most serious trouble that has arisen lately
between neighbours whose very closeness sometimes
leads to friction. He said that the Japanese authorities must take steps to protect Korean interests,
but he avoided any comment on the degree to which
such protection has led to the ill-feeling that has

been manifested at "anpaoshan and other places.

"The chief importance of the Premier's statement lies in the broad hint that it gives that, in dealings between the Powers and China, with regard to abolition of extraterritoriality or the abrogation of 'unilateral' treaties, Manchuria and l'ongolia stand outside the scope of common action and, whatever Japan may consent in common with the Powers, to shandon south of the Great wall, she has no intention of abandoning anything to the north. For the rest, her prospects in l'anchuria are full of promise. She has missed, it is true, the opportunity which once seemed to exist of settling her surplus population on the land there; but the hardworking and frugal Chinese who have entered the country during the past decade are both providing a market for Japanese goods and pouring wealth into the Japanese enterprises in South Manchuria. Premier said that the first and abiding consideration in Manchurie was that Japanese and Chinese, in spite of occasional friction, must get along together in a friendly and neighbourly menner."

23

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

Language section, I now come to No. 33 on page 9 of the English version.

Next we offer in evidence defense document 392 which is an article of August 5, 1931 entitled "Army Commanders' Conference; Minister of War's Appeal to the Public; Essential Improvements."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, this is the same speech as prosecution exhibit 186. It is a report from a different newspaper, but I have not been able to detect any difference in substance between them, and in my submission it is repetitious.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: The prosecution introduced only a part of the article in Japan Times as regards to defendant MINAMI's speech. As the original of the speech is not available at present, we think it is beneficial to this trial if we offer another version by another paper to make up a whole picture of MINAMI's speech.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr says there is no difference between this speech and exhibit 186.
What do you say?

MR. T. OKAMOTO: I find a number of omissions in the article of Japan Times which are covered by the article of Japan Chronicle now I am offering.

THE PRESIDENT: On your assurance that there are differences, we admit it on the usual terms.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: I tender this defense document No. 392 in evidence.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 392 will receive exhibit No. 2393.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2393 and received in evidence.)

MR. T. OKAMOTO: (Reading)

"Addressing the conference of the Commanders of the Army Divisions yesterday (4th instant), General MINAMI, Minister of War, said that the Imperial Army calls for improvement in point of organization and equipment. Reform is also required in many directions. Owing, however, to the strained financial circumstances of the State, it is impossible for the army to ask the national treasury for the money. The military authorities are, therefore, obliged to defer complete improvement to the time when business prosperity returns to the country, in the meantime contenting themselves with such measures as are more urgently needed. For financing such measures, they have contrived to find the money by retrenching war expenditure in other directions.

"In such circumstances, the abolition or reduction of some institutions and bodies is inevitable. It is a matter of deep regret that under this reform plan, some talented and able officers will have to retire, but in existing circumstances such a sacrifice must be made for the sake of the general efficiency of the army.

"Notwithstanding the fact that the army reform plan, drawn up by the military authorities, provides for minimum needs, and that the army is going to make heavy sacrifice in its execution, irresponsible cutsiders criticize the army as though it were bent upon exorbitant demands. The Minister exhorted his hearers to cooperate with the military authorities in correcting this erroneous impression. They must make positive endeavours to convince the troops under their respective orders of the imperative need of the contemplated reform and of its propriety. They must further endeavour to premote understanding and harmony between the troops and the public.

"MANCHURIAN SITUATION

"Referring to the Manchurian and Mongolian problem, the Minister said that the situation in Manchuria and Mongolia has lately been developing a more serious phase. The development of unfavourable

phenomena is evidently not ephemeral, as it is presumably based partly on the decline of Japan's prestige due to changes in international politics, and to the emasculation of the national spirit and partly to the growth of the anti-foreign sentiment and the rights-recovery ideas among the Chinese and also of the new economic influences in these regions. All 7 in the military service must have a stronger sense of loyalty and public service.

10

13

15

16

17

18

19

"Turning to the Geneva Disarmament Conference, the speaker said that to make preparations for the forthcoming assembly, the army appointed a preparatory committee in April. This committee has since been earnestly studying the just and proper claims to be put forward by the Japanese delegates. When they are definitely decided after consultation with all quarters concerned, they will be made public at the right moment so as to enlist the public support.

"In connection with the Disarmement Conference, the Minister proceeded many people are urging 21 the need of the reduction of armaments but a survey of the attitude of the Powers shows that no country is willing to take the initiative. It is regrettable that these people fail to face facts or deliberately miscor strue them, and proceed to stir up at home a

general desire for disarmament by exploiting the widespread sense of political and economic instability. The speaker asked his hearers to see that the public generally understand the nature of the Conference as well as the attitude of the Powers towards it correctly, so that public opinion at home may be formed justly and judiciously.

"FIFTIETH ANNIVERSARY

"The Minister reminded his hearers that this is the fiftieth anniversary of the grant of the celebrated Imperial Edict to the army, and urged on them the necessity of the injunctions set forth in this Edict should be more scrupulously observed by the troops so that the Imperial prestige may be enhanced and the foundations of the State be established more firmly."

May I point out one thing: that the Japan Chronicle is rather inclined to be anti-Japanese.

Next we offer in evidence defense document 443 which is an article of August 16, 1931 entitled,

"THE MANCHURIAN SITUATION

"KWANTUNG GOVERNOR AND KOREAN

"NATIONALITY QUESTION

"CALLS FOR SCLUTION"

showing the attitude of the Japanese Governor of the

Kwantung Leased Territory towards the Manchurian question.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: In our submission, this document is within the ruling already given by the Tribunal. It is merely a newspaper article of a press interview given by a Mr. TSUKAMOTO who was governor of Kwantung Province. It is, from our point of view, quite harmless; but, as the same time, we submit it is a waste of the time of the Tribunal to listen to it.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes. Have you anything to say, Mr. OKAMOTO?

MR. T. OKAMOTO: This governor of Kwantung Province, Mr. TSUKAMOTO, is representing Japanese Government at this time in Manchuria or on the part of Manchuria, and his views on the Manchurian question, which is getting quite tense between Japan and China, will be very beneficial for the trial of this case.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No.

443 will receive exhibit No. 2394.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2394 and received in evidence.)

Barton & Whalen

MT. T. OKAMOTO: (Reading).

"Article from 'The Japan Chronicle", August 16, 1931.

"The Manchurian Situation. Kwantung Governor and Kerean nationality question 'calls for solution'.

"Mr. TSUKAMOTO, Governor of Kwantung Province (leased territory), arrived in Kobe yesterday from Dairen, by the O.S.K. steamer Harbin-maru. His present visit to Tokyo is to report to the Government on the anti-Japanese situation in Manchuria and Mongolia, with special reference to the persecution of Korean residents.

"In a Press interview at Moji, Mr. TSUKAMOTO said that despite the prevalence of anti-Japanism, he was accorded a warm reception by Chinese officials during his recent inspection tour in Manchuria and Mongolia. It is like the Chinese not to allow official etiquette to be marred by anti-Japanism. He observed that the leaders of the Mukden Government are persuaded that the anti-Japanese movement does not redound to Chinese interests and that consequently they are doing their best to control it. Unfortunately, however, their orders are not always obeyed by the local authorities who, for the preservation of their own position, are lending support to the agitation. Mr. TSUKAMOTO

7 8

particularly regrets the systematic method widely used of stamping anti-Japanese ideas on the plastic minds of school children.

"Whereas, the Chinese population in Manchuria and Mongolia totals 30,000,000, the Koreans
who have settled there do not exceed a million, Mr.
TSUKAMOTO said. There should be no conflict of interests between them, especially when it is remembered that the cultivation of paddy fields is a speciality of Korean peasants, of which the Chinese farmer
is incapable. The present persecution of Koreans is
unaccountable.

"At any rate, he thinks that the question of nationality calls for a speedy solution.

"Referring to the criticism in some Japanese quarters that Japan's attitude tow rds Manchuria and Mongolia is too weak and negative, the Governor of Kwantung province declared that these critics are apt to denounce any policy which is not pugnacious, as a weak policy. If Japan grew excited and petulant whenever anti-Japanism arose, and persecition of Koreans took place, it could but lead to a collision. It is due to the well-considered attitude of the Japanese Government in the face of provocation that relations between Japan and China are not hopelessly estranged.

 China's attempts to levy taxes in the South Manchurian
Railway zone."

We next offer in evidence defense document

"He expressed himself strongly opposed to

No.796, which is an article of September 6, 1931, entitled "Baron WAKATSUKI Replies - No Reason for Stronger China Policy - The Hot Heads Rebuked."

CLERK OF THE COURT: Lefense document No. 796 will receive exhibit No. 2395.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2395 and received in evidence.)

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, we have not taken objection to this document as a whole, having regard to the previous ruling of the Tribunal, but the first part of it really is in the nature of a report of a speech by Baron WAKATSUKI, but the second part of it is nothing of the kind. I am referring to the part headed "The Kokumin's Lament" I suppose the Kokumin is another newspaper, or some other publication.

MR. OKAMOTO: I do not propose to read that part, your Honor.

(Reading): "Excerpt from 'The Japan Chronicle', September 6, 1931.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

17

13 19

20

21

23 24

25

"No Reason for Stronger China Policy.
"The Hot Heads Rebuked.

"A general meeting of Minseito members in the Kekuriku district was held at Toyama yesterday (5th instant), there being present over 4,000 members. The speech of Baron WAKATSUKI, the President, was the feature of the meeting.

"Baron WAKATSUKI and that present-day diplomacy must be based on international justice and must aim at the promotion of the common prosperity of all The foreign policy of the present Cabinet and the Minseito is shaped on this guiding principle. While safeguarding the existence of the State, it seeks to promote the happiness of mankind. The speaker has lately heard many adverse criticisms of the China policy of the present Cabinet, but it would be wrong to depart from the above mentioned guiding spirit in shaping the country's policy towards China. guiding spirit does not run counter to the firm determination to uphold the country's vested rights and in terests in Manchuria and Mongolia. In the light of international justice, there is no reason whatever why Japan should abandon these rights. If anybody attempts to ignore them, such attempts must be resisted resolutely. It must, however, always be kept in mind

that the only strong foreign policy which can be pursued fearlessly is one based on international justice and on the principles of the common prosperity of all nations. In order to reap the full fruit of such a strong policy the nation must not show impatience. It must not allow its judgement to be misled by over-nervousness, but pursue a settled course steadily and calmly. The speaker had no doubt that a China policy framed and pursued in this way will prove effectual."

The defense offers next in evidence defense document No. 795, which is an article of September 9, 1931, entitled "Chiang's Fiery Attack - Japan Behind the Scenes in the Canton Revolt - The NAKAMURA murder".

MR. COMYNS CARR: Has your Honor got the document? In my submission this document is covered by the Court's previous ruling. It consists of two parts, one which purports to be a speech by General Chang Kai-shek, and the other purports to be an account of what happened in a cabinet discussion.

In my submission, the first part is irrelevant and the second part does not purport to be official.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: In reply to the prosecutor my submission is that the first part is most relevant

.

and material to this trial because in comparison with 1 speeches which have been introduced up to now, Baron 2 WAKATSUKI and MINAMI and others, General Chiang Kai-3 shek made an extremely inflammatory speech about a 4 week or ten days before the Mukden Incident. I do 5 not propose to read the second part. 6 THE PRESILENT: What part do you propose to 7 8 omit? 9 MR. T. OKAMOTO: About the ca inet discussion. 10 THE PRESIDENT: This is offered as proof of 11 Chinese hostility just before the Mukden Incident? 12 MR. T. OKAMOTO: That is right, your Honor. 13 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 14 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 795 15 will receive exhibit No. 2396. 16 (Whereupon, the document above 17 referred to was marked defense exhibit 18 No. 2396 and received in evidence.) 19 MR. T. OKAMOTO: (Reading): 20 "Excerpt from 'The Japan Chronical', Sep-21 tember 9, 1931. Chiang's Fiery Attack. 22 Behind the Scenes in the Canton Revolt. The NAKAMURA 23 murder. Naking, September 7. 24 "General Chiang Kai-shek, President of the 25 Naking Government, made an extremely inflammatory speech

against Japan at to-day's weekly memorial services.

He denounced Japan for her alleged activities behind the scenes in connection with the Canton revolt. He continued:-- "when Mr. Eugen Chen, Foreign Minister of the Canton Government, visited Tokyo some time ago, the Japanese Government placed facilities at his disposal, including a big supply of arms and munitions. The result is the recent advance, of the Cantonese in Hunan province. In consequence, the National Government is constrained to resort to force in settling the Canton problem.

"Turning to the disturbance in Korea the General declared that Japan had butchered more than a hundred Chinese in Korea, and had occupied Wanpaoson in Manchuria.

"He emphasized that Japan had forfeited her claim to the comity of nations, through aiding and abetting civil war in neighboring countries.

"It is feared that the General's inflammatory address will add fuel to the anti-Japanese agitation now going on in various parts of China."

We next offer in evidence defense document 794, which is an article of September 16, 1931, entitled "Chiang Repeats his Charges - Did Japan Supply Canton with 100,000 Rifles? Grave Accusations."

THE PRESIDENT: This is pressed on the same ground as the last document?

MR. T. OKAMOTO: Yes, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No.

794 will receive exhibit No. 2397.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2397, and was received in evidence.)

MR. T. OKAMOTO: (Reading) "Excerpt from The Japan Chronicle' September 16, 1931 (Page 4)
"Chiang Repeats His Charges

"Did Japan Supply Canton with 100,000 Rifles?" Grave Accusations.

"As already reported, the Foreign Office instructed Mr. SHIGHMITSU, the Minister to China, to
make inquiries into the truth of the report that in
the course of his speech at the weekly memorial service on the 7th instant General Chiang Kai-shek, head
of the Nationalist Government, openly charged Japan
with instigating civil distrubances in China, alleging

that the Japanese Government supplied arms and ammunition to the Canton Government, had instigated the massacre of over 100 Chinese merchants in Korea and occupied Wanpaoshan.

"A report just received from Mr. SHIGEMITSU having made it clear that General Chiang actually spoke to that effect, the Foreign Office has decided to demand an explanation from the head of the Nationalist Government of his conduct. The Japanese Minister will be ordered to ask General Chiang to substantiate his accusations, especially in regard to the allegation that Japan has supplied arms to the Canton Government.

"A Nanking telegram to the Asahi says that in another speech at the weekly memorial service on the 14th instant, General Chiang repeated his charges against Japan.

"Referring to the invasion of Hunan, he said that on their own showing the rebels have Japan's help, and that they purchased 100,000 rifles from Japan.

"He was now sure that Mr. Eugen Chen's recent visit to Japan was for the purpose of buying arms from Japan, and conferring with Russian friends at Hong Kong. In Tokyo, he may have arranged for cooperation with Russian Communists through the Soviet Embassy

there."

Next we offer in evidence defense document 352, which is an excerpt from the Manchuria Year Book, 1931, showing the condition of Japanese investments in Manchuria by various statistics.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 352

will receive exhibit No. 2398.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2398, and was received in evidence.)

MR. T. OKAMOTO: (Reading) "Excerpt from the Manchuria Year Book 1931. Japanese Investments.

"Outline. -- Japanese investments in Manchuria as mentioned above totalled more than ¥1,510,000,000 at the end of 1928 and they may be classified as follows according to the enterprises:"

I will omit reading the rest of it.

On page 2: "The South Manchuria Railway
Company which represents more than 50% of the total
Japanese investment in Manchuria (54% at the end of
1926) has distributed its investments in the following
fields of enterprise."

I will omit the rest of that page.
On page 5, second paragraph: "Direct

Investments. -- The amount of Japanese direct investment in enterprises in Manchuria reached ¥1,340,000,000 in 1928,---"

That will finish the reading of this document.

Next we offer in evidence defense document

260, which is a map of distributions of Japanese residents (including Korean) in Manchuria and Mongolia
in December, 1928."

Mk. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, this document purports to prove by writing down on a map a quantity of facts of which there is no other evidence. The only certificate attached to it is one by Mr. BANNO who says that he obtained it in Hsinking in 1936 and has owned it ever since. And even that certificate does not apply in terms to this map, but to a book which we haven't seen. The book is said to have been compiled by the headquarters of the Kwantung Army. That is the only information available to us as to where these figures and marks on the map have been obtained.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: As Counsel BANNO is here in the court room, may he be heard to explain the situation?

THE PRESIDENT: Where is the book? It is usual to tender the book for identification and the excerpt as an exhibit.

Mt. T. OKAMOTO: Mr. BANNO will explain the situation.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. BANNO.

MR. BANNO: This map is from a book compiled by the Kwantung Army Bureau entitled: "Manchurian-Mongolian -- Reference for Manchuria and Mongolia."
This was received in 1936 while I was at the head-quarters of the Kwantung Army in Manchuria.

THE MONITOR: This book came into my possession in 1936 while I was in Manchuria from the Kwantung Army headquarters.

MR. BANNO: This book did not contain any special secrets, and I held it merely for reference purposes. I thought it would be of great assistance to this trial if a map which we could see at one glance would be presented showing the distribution of Japanese subjects in Manchuria.

I think that this book entitled: "References to the Problem of Manchuria and Mongolia -- "

THE MONITOR: I think that at the present moment this book entitled: "Reference to Manchurian and Mongolian Problems" in in the office of Mr. Magliano.

MR. BANNO: From one week ago we have been trying to present this document to the Tribunal. But

```
in case it is not in the hands of the Tribunal, then it
1
     is still -- I think it is still in Mr. Magliano's of-
2
     fice; that is my assumtion.
3
5
 6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

THE PRESIDENT: You must tender the book for identification and then tender an excerpt containing the figures that you are putting on the map; and then, as we allowed the Russians to put their figures on a map, we may likewise allow you to do so.

MR. BANNO: I understand clearly, and after making the proper preparations I shall present it.

THE PRESIDENT: I understand that at page 29 of the Lytton Report we have these particulars.

No. 260 will be entered later.

Now, we would like to call KANAI, Shoji as a witness for the defense. Mr. OHARA will read his affidavit and conduct the direct examination.

SHOJI KANAI, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

THE PRESIDENT: Who is in charge of this witness? There is nobody at the lectern.

MR. OHARA: OHARA. I am in charge.

I present in evidence defense document No. 883, which is an affidavit.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 1 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 883 will receive exhibit No. 2399. 3 (Whereupon, the document above 4 referred to was marked defense document No. 2399 and received in evidence.) DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. OHARA: Q What is your name? 9 A My name is KANAI, Shoji. 10 THE PRESIDENT: The question was not 11 translated into English. If it was I did not hear 12 13 it, but I heard the answer. THE MONITOR: Mr. President, it has been 14 interpreted, sir. Counsel asked, "What is your 15 16 name?" 17 THE PRESIDENT: Oh, do go ahead and ask 13 questions. Put on your earphones. 19 Q Witness, now I will show you exhibit 2399, 20 and will you look at it and examine it. Does this 21 document contain your testimony?

22

A That is so.

23 24

25

Q Do you find any mistakes in this document? A From what I have seen I don't think there

are any mistakes.

1	am outple (Donding)
1	MR. OHARA: (Reading)
2	"Having first duly sworn an oath as on
3	attached sheet and in accordance with the procedure
4	followed in my country I hereby depose as follows:
5	"Name: KANAI, Shoji.
6	"Date of Birth: December 1, 1886.
7	"Address: No. 4376 Baba-cho, Ueda City,
8	Chiisaagata-gun, Nagano Prefecture.
9	"Title: (Degree) Doctor of Medicine.
10	"Personal history: 1. From 1921 to 1922,
11	a class member of the Health Section of the Secretariat
12	of the League of Nations in Geneva.
13	"2. In 1923, Professor of bacteria pathology
14	at the Keio-gijuku University.
15	"3. From 1924 to 1931, Chief of the Board
16	of Health and concurrently Chief of the Hygienic
17	Laboratory of the South Manchuria Railway Company.
18	"4. From July to September 1931, executive
19	member of the Yangtze River Flood Relief Committee of
20	Nanking Government (Chief of the Committee Sung tzu-wen).
21	"5. November 1931, Adviser to the Peace
22	Preservation Committee of the Liaoning Province.
23	"6. December 1931, Supreme Adviser to the
24	Mukden Provincial Government.
25	"7. 1932, Chief of the General Affairs Bureau

of Mukden Province.

"8. 1933, Councillor of the Department of Home Affairs, and Chief of the General Affairs Bureau

of Pinchiang Province (Harbin).

"9. 1935, Governor of Chientao Province.

"Before and after the Mukden Incident, I
was one of the executive members of the Yangtze River
Flood Relief Committee of China in Shanghai and was
engaged in the relief work of flood sufferers. (It
was estimated that the number of flood sufferers
amounted to fifteen millions at that time.)

"On the night of September 18, when I returned from Shanghai to Dairen to make arrangements with the head office of South Manchurian Railway Company concerning the flood relief work, I was informed of the outbreak of the Incident.

"Doctor MORITA, Fukumatsu of Mukden informed me of it by long distance telephone.

"On the next day I presented myself to the head office of South Manchurian Railway Company where I reported to the President on the conditions of flood relief in Shanghai and, upon completion of arrangements with the authorities concerned, I again left for Shanghai on the 21st.

"I came back to Shanghai to find that the

outbreak of the Mukden Incident aggravated antiJapanese atmosphere in Shanghai. Finding it rather
difficult for me to continue my work, I returned to
Dairen from Shanghai in the early part of October and
I continued in the service as Chief of the Sanitary
Section of South Manchurian Railway.

"I remember that it was about October 11 or 12. I was asked by Mr. YAMAGUCHI, Juji, the then resident of Mukden, to visit him as he said he had something to consult with me, and I went there, taking two days' furlough from the Company.

"In Mukden at that time railway traffic other than South Manchurian Railway was suspended, communications were interrupted, factories and government offices were closed and there were a large number of unemployed and vagabonds.

"Among the native population within the walled city of Mukden, which was said to number 280,000, 70,000 were unemployed and vagabonds who were no better than beggars in their actual living conditions.

"I felt that in order to relieve these afflicted people, it was absolutely necessary, to provide them with employments by restoring various economic mechanisms and to promote circulation of goods by restoring communications. I did my best in following

on this line. Moreover, I endeavored to arrange free food to those who had nothing to eat. "My relation to the South Manchuria Railway 4 Company was as follows: "Upon my arrival at Mukden, I came to the 6 conclusion that the situation there, as mentioned above, 7 could not be settled by a few days' efforts and would 8 drag on. So I requested the Company for my resigna-9 tion twice by telegram, but it was not accepted after 10 all. "Since I came to Manchuria, I have had two 11 12 occasions to engage in relief works of the Chinese: "In 1924, when Chang Tsuo-lin fought with 14 some forces of the Peking district, I invited Dr. 15 MOTEGI, Professor of Surgery at the Keio University, from Japan and dispatched a rescue party in order to treat the injured on both sides. 17 "In 1925, a rebellion occurred in Chang 18 Tsuo-lin's Army (Kuo Sung-ling's rebellion). As the 19 battle fronts were near the track of the South 20 Manchuria Railway, I made preparation to admit those who were injured in the battle, both soldiers and 23 civilians for treatment at some of the hospitals 24 attached to South Manchurian Railway in the area from Mukden to Tashihchiao.

"As the adviser to the Peace Preservation
Committee of Liaoning Province, I was never present
personally at the committee meetings involving myself
in its actual business. My chief task was to form a
connecting link between the Japanese and foreigners
in connection with the measures to be taken following
the outbreak of the Incident."

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen
minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

K 1 MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International a Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. p 1 2 e THE PRESIDENT: Mr. OHARA. 3 a MR. OHARA: I shall continue reading: u "Main works of the committee were to & 5 preserve public peace, to put people's mind at rest G 6 oldb and to stabilize currency. "The aim of the Committee's works was to restore everything to pre-Incident conditions. 10 "Then, when the Government of Mukden 11 Province was set up in November, 1931, I was cor-12 dially invited by that Government to be the Supreme 13 Advisor. 14 "The duty of the Supreme Adviser was almost 15 the same as that of the position I held under the 16 Peace Preservation Committee. 17 "The principal works of the Provincial 18 Government were maintenance of public peace, re-19 arrangement of prefectural governments, repayment of 20 internal and external debts of the former Government 21 of Mukden Province, settlement of accounts for 22 purchased articles, price stabilization of staple 23

food, relief of the poor in the Province, et cetera.

Guidance Organization, I had no direct connection

"As for the question of the Self-Government

25

with it. But I know that the Organization was presided by Mr. YU Chung-han, who was deeply interested in local autonomy; its principal positions were occupied by Manchurian natives; some promising Japanese Youngmen took part in it; and it was vigorously carrying on its main task of promoting self-government in each local prefecture.

"I know neither its internal structure nor its actual activities.

"As to the Concordia Association, I know neither the events leading to its foundation nor the details of its actual activities, for I had no direct connection with it as in the case of the Self-Government Guidance Organization. At the early stage of its existence, I had an occasion to advise that the Association should be a group of exclusively interested civilians with the purpose of promoting concord of the five races and removing difficulties of the people at large.

"The Manchuria Youngmen's League was a purely civilian organization formed in 1928 by interested Japanese and Korean young people staying in Manchuria.

"The activities of the League was primarily directed to such ethical and social movements among

young people, as the Dedication of one sen per day movement, the movement against mah-jong, the movement for wider use of bicycles, the travelling performance of excellent movies, the encouragement of land cultivation, the flourishing of Manchurian industry, and so on.

"As the negotiations between Japan and Manchuria grew critical the League started to conduct
its own investigation and to publish its own findings. This was because the League desired to clarify
the real truth of the situation and to guide young
people in passing correct judgements on the situation.

"It is shown in the statement made by the chief of the board of directors that the Young Men's League was not a political organization.

of Nations, I was deeply impressed by the complete unity of the four racial peoples, viz. Germans, Italians, French and Romens in Switzerland as well as the international cooperation in the League of Nations. Therefore I could not see the troubles existing between Japan and Manchuria without feeling the absolute necessity of two principles, viz. self-determination and cooperation among peoples. So while I was in the posts of the adviser and then

the chief director of the Manchurian Young Mens
League, I inspired the Japanese young men in Manchuria with these two principles, which were widely
supported by the majority of the Japanese young men
in Manchuria.

"The members of the Manchuria Young Men's
League were as many as 5,000 in Manchuria. They
were found even among the members of Concordia
Association and the Self-Government Guidance Organization.

"The Manchurian Young Men's League was voluntarily dissolved soon after the foundation of Manchoukuo.

"So far as I know, I heard nothing about the creation of a new state at the beginning of the incident.

"But it is a fact that the members of the civilian party in Manchuria, Mr. WAN Yun-chiang, Yu Chung-han and YUAN Chin-kai entertained such an idea as 'securing borderlines and putting people's mind at rest,' which is the counterpart of the idea held by the militarist party headed by CHIANG Tsuo-lin. The reason for this idea was as follows:

Whenever the head of the militarist party, CHIANG Tsuo-lin sent his army to the Peking districts with

the ambition to gain control of the central areas, the war expenses were always burdens on the local people. With a view to this fact, the people in the three Eastern Provinces united to keep themselves away from the influence of political changes in the central areas.

"As for the establishment of Manchukuo, I, being an adviser to the Provincial Government, had nothing to do with the movement.

"I devoted myself to the maintenance of public peace in the Province and the others as I mentioned before.

"In connection with the movement to create a new state which was conducted by the North-Eastern Administrative Committee, I know nothing but the reports which appeared in the newspapers.

"Concerning the opium problem: During my stay in Europe from 1920 to 1922 I served as an assistant to Dr. MIYAJIMA, Mikinosuke, a member of the suite of the Japanese Delegate to the League of Nations Opium Conference. Accordingly, I, as a local official in Manchuria, discharged my duty of controling opium in strict conformity with the spirit of International Conscience.

"The detection and control of secret cul-

7 8

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

13 14

15 16

17 18

> 19 20

> 22

21

23

25

tivation of opium in far remote districts were carried out by using planes.

"Secret smoking, traffic and transportation of opium were rigidly controled.

"I am of the opinion that the monopoly system is quite a suitable measure in order to realize gradual decrease of opium smokers if it is accompanied with thorough administrative control.

"It is evidently the result of the epium control in Manchuria that the number of opium-smokers not only among the higher officials but also among the younger ones has so remarkably decreased.

"On this 25th day of Merch, 1947, at Tokyo, "Deponent: KANAI, Shoji (seal).

"I, BANNO, Junkichi, hereby certify that the above statement was sworn by the Deponent, who affixed his signature and seal thereto in the presence of this Witness.

"On the same date, at the same place.
"Witness: BANNO, Junkichi (seal)

MR. OHARA: Your Honor, I have just finished reading the affidavit, but there is one point that I would like to ask at this present time. May I ask a supplementary question concerning -- conduct a supplementary interrogation?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from 1921?

From 1921.

DIRECT

THE PRESIDENT: At what length? The purpose of this evidence in affidavit is to avoid long exeminations. If you are going to add a long exemination, the purpose is defeated. MR. ARA: Just one question, your Honor DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued) BY MR. OHARA: Mr. Witness, from which Manchurian did you hear for the first time that there was a movement for the foundation of a Manchukuan State? A From Yu Chung-hen. When and where did you hear that? After the founding of the State. I withdraw that statement; after the outbreak of the Incident. Where and on what occasion was that? I don't correctly recall the place, but it was after the outbreak of the Incident while I was in Mukden. MR. OHARA: Your Honor, there is a clear mistake in this affidavit, so we wish to have permission to correct it.

Your stry in Geneva -- was it from 1911 or

MR. OHARA: The direct examination is over.

MR. OHTA: I am counsel for defendant

DOIHARA. My name is OHTA, Kinjiro.

I wish to be permitted to conduct a very brief direct examination. I wish to ask the witness a brief question concerning the connections between the Kwantung Army and opium.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: If it please the Tribunal, it is submitted that this is a breach of the rule laid down by the Tribunal with regard to affidavit evidence. This is adding to the direct examination. It is not a cross-examination that the counsel proposes to make.

THE PRESIDENT: I don't think the Court will have any objection to one or two questions, but we are not going to have lengthy examinations imposed on the affidavits.

MR. OHTA: I will make it as brief as possible.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. OHTA:

Q Did the special organ ever handle opium?

THE MONITOR: That is, the Special Service organ.

What do you say to that? I have never heard snything in connec-A tion with opium while I was in the post of adviser. Is it the same with respect to the Kwantung frmy? Yes, absolutely. MR. OHTA: That is all, sir. Thank you very much.

2

3 4

5

6

•

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution has no questions.

THE PRESIDENT: I am not surprised.

The witness is released on the usual terms.

(Whereupon, the witness was

excused.)

MR. OHARA: Your Honor, Mr. Levin wishes to say a word or two. I wish to present Mr. Levin.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Levin.

MR. LEVIN: As indicated by my esteemed colleague, Mr. OKAMOTO, an arrangement has been entered into between the prosecution and defense to make agreements in advance in relation to undisputed facts which it is desired to present to the Tribunal. This, it is believed, will save a great deal of time and expedite the progress of the trial. The prosecution has been extremely cooperative in this matter.

Stipulated Facts No. 1 relates to a series of treaties and agreements giving Japan certain rights and privileges in China, and as referred to therein are self-explanatory.

For the convenience of the Members of the Tribunal in following the text of the stipulations between us, I ask the Clerk to kindly give to each

 Member of the Court copies of our agreement, and I shall proceed to read them, omitting formal parts:

"IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the prosecution and the defense that the following facts may be read into evidence:

"(a) On July 21, 1896, Japan and China entered into a Treaty and Protocol of Navigation and Commerce regulating the right of Japanese Nationals in China with respect to entry, residence, business, rent or lease of land and immovable property in the appointed area, station of consul, employment of Chinese, the levy of import and export duties, the extent of applicability of extra-territoriality, and containing a most favored nation clause. In 1903 a supplement to this Treaty was executed.

"(b) On December 22, 1905, a Treaty and Subsidiary Agreement were entered into between Japan and China concerning Manchuria in which China recognized Japan's succession to Russian interests in Manchuria to the extent that the same passed under Articles V and VI of the Treaty of Portsmouth and which provided for the improvement and management of the Antung-Mukden Railway, the exoneration of taxes on materials required for the South Manchuria Railway

and certain matters concerning residential quarters.

entered into an Agreement for the Establishment of a Maritime Office in Dairen and for the Regulation of Navigation in Inland Water Streams, whereby they regulated the customs office in Dairen and gave to Japan a limited right of navigation through inland waters upon receipt of inland water certificates.

- "(d) On September 4, 1909, Japan and China entered into an Agreement on Five Problems, wherein, (inter alia), they regulated the establishment of certain specified railways and the operation of certain specified coal mines.
- "(e) On September 4, 1909, Japan and China entered into a Treaty concerning Chientao, regulating the residence of Koreans and the protection of Korean property in Chientao.
- "(f) On November 22, 1937, an Agreement was entered into by Japan and Manchukuo for the abrogation of Japan's rights of extra-territoriality in Manchukuo and for the concession of administrative rights in the South Manchuria Railway Zone by Japan to Manchukuo. This was proclaimed by Japan in the Imperial Rescript of December 1, 1937.

"DATED at the War Ministry Building, Tokyo,

April 1, 1947.

Signed for the Prosecution by Kurt Steiner, and for the Defense by Michael Levin.

I now come to the stipulated facts No. 1-A,
to which the prosecution objects for legal reasons,
but there is no question concerning the execution
and existence of those documents. It is believed
that in logical sequence this stipulation should
be read into the record at this time, and the
objections argued when evidence is adduced in relation to claimed rights under said treaties.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If it please the Tribunal, the statement by counsel goes beyond the agreement that the prosecution and the defense reached, and I think I should explain that at this time. We have not agreed that matters which we desire to object to should be read into evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: What harm in reading those?

If the documents were tendered, we would have as many particulars as are given here in the record. They have to be described in terms longer, if anything, than these.

MR. TAVENNER: As a matter of principle we thought we should not begin by permitting the reading

into evidence of matters which the Court has not ruled upon as being admissible.

THE PRESIDENT: Suppose there was no such agreement that you had come to, no qualified agreement; the document would be tendered, it would be described at great length, and there would be argument at considerable length. What would be saved by following that course? All that would still go into the transcript.

MR. TAVENNER: Of course, the comment of counsel would be a part of the transcript, but the document itself would not be.

THE PRESIDENT: Nothing is tendered until we decide on these points. That is what I understand. Nothing is admitted, I should say.

If you want to keep these documents out of the record, we are with you there, unless they are admitted eventually.

Have you any objections to these points being decided now?

MR. TAVEMER: We wanted them decided now, and then the record will not be encumbered by the recital of matters which may be rejected at a later time.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, I could see nothing

7 8

wrong with your suggestion, Mr. Tavenner, but I did not know that Mr. Levin was suggesting anything different. We can decide these points forthwith.

As far as I can judge, I do not think the Tribunal has any objection to deciding them now.

MR. TAVENNER: That is the entire point that I was making. Counsel contended that they desired to read it into evidence and have the Tribunal pass on it when other evidence is introduced later connecting it up with their points.

THE PRESIDENT: No, we will not agree to any procedure that means that we are to let into the record documents upon which we have not passed any judgement.

MR. TAVENNER: I have no special argument to make regarding our objections to these documents. Their irrelevancy and immateriality appears plainly upon their face, and we object on those grounds.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Levin.

MR. LEVIN: Mr. President, I take it I need hardly state to the Tribunal that I would not knowingly violate any agreement that I had entered into with the prosecution; and as Mr. Tavenner has indicated to me just now, he did not intend to make that inference at all.

It seemed to us in view of the fact that in the stipulation itself, that is, Stipulation No. 1-A, that the truth and correctness of the facts are admitted, that there could be no objection at this time to the reading into the record the facts themselves.

I would rather prefer to withdraw the application and the right to read it at this time, if the Court is going to pass upon the relevancy of the documents. My reason for that being this, if the Tribunal pleases: It is intended to offer evidence to connect up these documents with the evidence that is to be tendered; and it would seem to me that the Court could much more intelligently pass upon the relevancy and materiality of the documents at that time when they are tendered in relation to the evidence that is offered rather than at this time when we have the stipulation merely as to the correctness of the facts indicated in the stipulation.

. 9

THE PRESIDENT: You are in control, Mr. Levin. All you have got to do is to withdraw this document, ask us not to consider it and then tender the evidence in due course and let us pass judgment then.

MR. LEVIN: Then, with the Court's permission, if I may, I desire to withdraw the stipulated facts
No. 1-A at this time.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If your Honor please, as this has been the first instance in which there has been an attempted stipulation of facts, it might be well to state to the Court what arrangement has been made between the prosecution and the defense and possibly read that into the transcript.

THE PRESIDENT: Agreements on facts is common enough in civil proceedings and in some countries in criminal proceedings. Is there anything special about this agreement that they should mention what it is?

MR. TAVENNER: I see of no special reason for it.

THE PRESIDENT: You might start a debate about nothing.

MR. TAVENNER: I would like to state, however,

 that I meant no -- to make no inference of improper conduct toward defense counsel -- by defense counsel.

MR. LEVIN: We expect, Mr. President, in the future to enter into quite a number of these stipulations and I believe many of these will probably be entered into verbally and not in writing and we shall have no difficulty, I am sure.

Mr. President, Mr. Mattice will now proceed with Subdivision II of this phase of the case.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Mattice.

MR. MATTICE: May it please the Tribunal, we come now to Section II or Subdivision II of Division No. 2, the Manchurian Phase of the defense. This section of Division 2 relates to the Mukden Incident and the developments down to the time of the establishment of the independence of Manchuria. The Language Section is referred to page 4 of the running commentary, paragraph beginning with the name "HONJO."

The witness HONJO will be called at this time. He will be examined by Mr. BANNO.

MR. BANNO: I wish to call HONJO Kazuo, as a witness for the defense.

	KAZUO HONJO, called as a witness
1	on behalf of the defense, being first
2	duly sworn, testified through Japanese
3	interpreters as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. BANNO:
7	Q The name of the witness, please?
8	A HONJO, Kazuo.
9	Q Your present address?
10	A No. 8 Uenohara, Nakano-ku, Tokyo.
11	Q How old are you?
12	A 42.
13	Q I shall now show you defense document
14	No. 244.
15	THE PRESIDENT: Oh, do continue with the
16	examination.
17	MR. BANNO: Have the witness shown defense
18	document 244.
19	I tender in evidence defense document 244.
20	It is the affidavit of HONJO, Kazuo.
21	THE PRESIDENT: Has he sworn to it yet? Has
22	he said it is his affidavit?
23	MR. BANNO: I understand.
24	Q I think that affidavit, defense document No.
25	244 is in your hands now. Is there no mistake about

it, that that is your affidavit?

2

1

A This is my affidavit.

3

MR. BANNO: I should like to tender in evidence defense document document No. 244.

4

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

6

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No.

244 will receive exhibit No. 2400.

8

(Whereupon, the document above re-

9

ferred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2400

10

and received in evidence.)

11

MR. BANNO: I shall read defense document 244, exhibit 2400. Since the formalities are not

12 13

strictly necessary I should like to omit it.

THE PRESIDENT: That is approved.

15

MR. BANNO: (Reading)

16

"1. I am the eldest son of the late HONJO,

17

Shigeru. I lived in the city of Urawa in order to

18 19

serve at the Urawa Army Provisions Branch Depot at Kita-Urawa, Urawa City, November, 1945. As November,

20

20, 1945 was a holiday, I happened to be at my father's

21

residence at No. 8, Uenohara Nakano-ku, Tokyo-to.

22 23

"2. My father reported at the Occupation Guidance Institute within the ex-Army General Staff College building at Aoyama Icchome, Akasaka-ku,

24

Tokyo-to early in the morning on that day. Upon

/

 receipt of the information from the Institute that my father committed suicide at about 10:00 a.m.

I hastened to the place and I confirmed my father's death, on the spot, in the Chief Manager's room.

"3. There were two testaments on the table in that room, the one was addressed to KAWAMURA, Kyoichi, his secretary and the other was to Lieutenant General NUKADA. As it was mentioned in the testament addressed to the secretary that his will would be found in his black leather satchel kept in the air raid shelter at the residence, upon returning later, I opened the bag in question and found his will in it. It was the document, as attached, written in 24 lines on rolled letter-paper of "Hosho", paper. I affirm the complete text of the letter, the seal and the written signature to be my father's handwriting in every particular."

I should like to tender in evidence defense document No. 276, which is the testament.

MR. BANNO: I should like to tender in evidence defense document No. 274, which is a testament. I wish to show defense document No. 274 to the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 274 will receive exhibit No. 2401.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2401 and received in evidence.)

MR. BANNO: May I now read exhibit 2401:

"Testament.

"Though retired, I, who for years held important military positions, feel utterly overawed for having led the Empire to today's unprecedented state of near ruin. I can only atone for my sin by dying ten thousand deaths.

"The railway explosion at the height of the anti-Japanese movement led to the Manchurian Incident and the Kwantung Army had no choice except to take action from the standpoint of selfdefense. No instructions of any sort were received from the Government or the Military High Command.

"In leaving this world bearing full responsibility, I, hereby, pray from the bottom of my heart for the health and longevity of the Emperor, for the

protection of our national constitution and for the restoration of the Empire.

"September 1945. HONJO, Shigeru."

BY MR. BANNO (Continued):

Q Is there no mistake with respect to this

testament as being that of HONJO, Shigeru?

A No mistake whatsoever.

MR. BANNO: With your permission, your Honor, I wish to make just a brief -- one supplementary question of the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: One question.

Q General Shigeru HONJO, his Excellency Shigeru HONJO, after he retired as Chief of the Kwantung Army what was his official career after that?

A In August 1932 he was appointed Supreme War Councillor; he was appointed in April 1933 as Chief Aide de Camp to the Emperor. Since resigning from the army he was President of the Military Protection Association in 1938 -- April 1938 -- and was appointed Privy Councillor in April, 1945.

THE INTERPRETER: Correction: In February 1945.

MR. BANNO: That is all, sir.

MR. TAVENNER: There are no questions by the prosecution, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: The witness is released on the 1 usual terms. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) 3 MR. BANNO: Next I wish to call the witness 4 5 KAWAMURA, Kyoichi. 6 KYOICHI KAWAMURA, a witness called on 7 behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, 8 testified through Japanese interpreters as 9 10 follows: 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. BANNO: 13 The name of the witness, please? 14 A KAWAMURA, Kyoichi. 15 Your address at the present time? Q 16 No. 17 Ichigaya Kawada-machi, Shinjuku-ku, 17 Tokyo. 18 Q Your age? 19 A 55. 20 I am going to show you defense document No. 21 233. Is there no mistake that this is your affidavit? 22 Is there no mistake? 23 A No. 24 MR. BANNO: I wish to tender in evidence 25 defense document No. 233.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No.

233 will receive exhibit No. 2402.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was maked defense exhibit No. 2402 and received in evidence.)

MR. BANNO: I shall now read defense document No. 233, exhibit 2402, the affidavit of KAWAMURA, Kyoichi:

"The late Ex-general HONJO, Shigeru was president of the Vocational Guiding Association in the autumn of 1945, and I worked as his secretary.

"I confirm that the appended booklet entitled .

'The Truth of the Manchurian Incident', which covers sixteen sheets of Japanese paper is what I recorded, based upon the manuscript which had gradually been dictated to me by himself from about the middle of September to the early part of October 1945 and then was corrected several times and was finally approved by him.

"November 26, 1946 (the 21st year of Showa.)"
BY MR. BANNO (Continued):

Q I wish to show defense document No. 227 to the witness. Is there no mistake that that was the article entitled "True Nature of the Manchurian Incident" as

dictated by General HONJO and taken down in manuscript by you? 2 There is no mistake. A 3 MR. BANNO: I tender in evidence defense 4 document No. 227. 5 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 6 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 227 7 will receive exhibit No. 2403. 8 (Yhereupon, the document above 9 referred to was marked defense exhibit 10 No. 2403 and received in evidence.) 11 THE PRESIDENT: Circulate that document. 12 We will adjourn until half past nine 13 14 tomorrow morning. ("hereupon, at 1600, an adjournment 15 16 was taken until Thursday, 3 April 1947, at 17 0930.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25